

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Differences in Intrinsic Gray Matter Connectivity and Their Genomic Underpinnings in Autism Spectrum Disorder / Leyhausen, J., Schafer, T., Gurr, C., Berg, L.M., Seelemeyer, H., Pretzsch, C.M., Loth, E., Oakley, B., Buitelaar, J.K., Beckmann, C.F., Floris, D.L., Charman, T., Bourgeron, T., Banaschewski, T., Jones, E.J.H., Tillmann, J., Chatham, C., Ahmad, J., Ambrosino, S., Auyeung, B., et al.. - In: BIOLOGICAL PSYCHIATRY. - ISSN 0006-3223. - 95:2(2024), pp. 175-186. [10.1016/j.biopsych.2023.06.010]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

09/10/2026 18:43

Title

Differences in Intrinsic Gray-Matter Connectivity and their genomic underpinnings in Autism Spectrum Disorder

Authors

Johanna Leyhausen^{a,b,c}, Tim Schäfer^{a,b}, Caroline Gurr^{a,b}, Lisa M. Berg^{a,b}, Hanna Seelemeyer^{a,b}, Charlotte M. Pretzsch^d, Eva Loth^d, Bethany Oakley^d, Jan K. Buitelaar^e, Christian F. Beckmann^e, Dorothea L. Floris^{e,f}, Tony Charman^g, Thomas Bourgeron^h, Tobias Banaschewskiⁱ, Emily JH Jones^j, Julian Tillmann^k, Chris Chatham^k, The EU-AIMS LEAP Group, Declan Murphy^d, Christine Ecker^{a,b,d}

Affiliations

- ^a Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University Hospital, Goethe University, 60528 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.
- ^b Brain Imaging Center, Goethe-University, 60528 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.
- ^c Department of Biosciences, Goethe University Frankfurt, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.
- ^d Department of Forensic and Neurodevelopmental Sciences, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College, London SE5 8AF, UK.
- ^e Department of Cognitive Neuroscience, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center, 6525 EN Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- ^f Methods of Plasticity Research, Department of Psychology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- ^g Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London SE5 8AF, UK.
- ^h Institut Pasteur, Human Genetics and Cognitive Functions Unit, Paris Cedex 15, France.
- ⁱ Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Central Institute of Mental Health, University of Heidelberg, Medical Faculty Mannheim, 68159 Mannheim, Germany.
- ^j Centre for Brain and Cognitive Development, Birkbeck, University of London, London WC1E 7JL, UK.
- ^k F. Hoffmann–La Roche, Innovation Center Basel, Basel, Switzerland.

Corresponding author: Johanna Leyhausen; Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Deutschordenstrasse 50, University Hospital, Goethe University, 60528 Frankfurt am Main; Tel: +49 (0)69 6301 85832; E-Mail: johanna.leyhausen@kgu.de

Short running title: Differing intrinsic gray-matter connectivity in autism

Keywords: ASD, neuroimaging, structural MRI, intrinsic wiring costs, imaging-genetics

Abstract

Background

Autism is a heterogenous neurodevelopmental condition accompanied by differences in brain connectivity. Structural connectivity in autism has mainly been investigated within the white matter. However, many genetic variants associated with autism highlight genes related to synaptogenesis and axonal guidance, thus also implicating differences in ‘intrinsic’ (i.e. gray-matter) connections in autism. Intrinsic connections may be assessed *in vivo* via so-called intrinsic global and local wiring costs.

Methods

Here, we examined intrinsic global and local wiring costs in the brain of N=359 autistic individuals and N=279 controls, aged 7-31 years from the EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP). FreeSurfer was used to derive surface mesh representations to compute the estimated length of connections required to wire the brain within the gray-matter. Vertex-wise between-group differences were assessed using a general linear model. A gene expression decoding analysis based on the Allan Human Brain Atlas was performed to link neuroanatomical differences to putative underpinnings.

Results

Group differences in global and local wiring costs were predominantly observed in medial and lateral prefrontal brain regions, in inferior temporal regions, and at the left temporoparietal

junction. The resulting neuroanatomical patterns were enriched for genes previously implicated in the etiology of autism at the genetic and transcriptomic level.

Conclusion

Based on intrinsic gray-matter connectivity, the study investigated the complex neuroanatomy of autism and linked between-group differences to putative genomic and/or molecular mechanisms to parse the heterogeneity of autism and provide targets for future subgrouping approaches.

Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a highly heterogeneous neurodevelopmental condition characterized by difficulties with social communication, as well as restricted and repetitive behavior (1). Studies suggest that autism is associated with differences in neuroanatomy and structural brain connectivity (2). Investigating these differences and their putative genetic mechanisms might aid to identify targeted support.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has extensively been used to examine differences in brain connectivity *in vivo*. For example, functional and structural studies suggest that autism is accompanied by differences in global (i.e. long-range) and local (i.e. short-range) connectivity compared to non-autistic individuals even though there is some dispute over the sign and scale of these difference. Some studies report decreased global and increased local connectivity in autism (3–6), while others report significant increases (7) or decreases in global and local functional connectivity, respectively (8). Such heterogeneity in findings might be due to various reasons including variability in participant demographics, sample sizes, or connectivity features (8–11). Evidence also suggest that differing brain connectivity is associated with clinical traits in autism, i.e. alterations in functional brain connectivity in limbic, frontoparietal and motor regions are associated with repetitive behavior (12), and with the severity of core autism features (13). Thus, examining brain connectivity may provide important novel insights into the neurobiological underpinnings of different clinical autistic traits.

Most previous neuroimaging studies investigating brain connectivity in autism focused on so-called ‘extrinsic’ connections that pass through the cortical white matter (e.g. 14). However,

genetic and transcriptomic studies suggest that many genetic variants associated with autism include genes related to synaptogenesis, maturation and axonal guidance, hence also implicating differences in ‘intrinsic’ (i.e. gray-matter) connectivity in the pathophysiology of the condition (15–17). Intrinsic cortico-cortical connections are confined to the cortical sheet and travel in parallel to the cortical surface (18). As described in histological studies, such intrinsic connections can range up to a few millimeters and are found in the prefrontal (19), visual, and somatosensory cortex (20). Intrinsic connections are related to various surface-based geometric features such as surface area (21), cortical separation distances (22), and Gaussian curvature (23) and are considered to be ‘intrinsic’ as they are independent from the two-dimensional folding of the cortex, and cannot be removed without deformation of the cortical surface (22). Therefore, measuring intrinsic connections provides insights that are not accessible by traditional volume-based approaches, and may provide *in vivo* proxy markers that are closely related to the aetiology of autism (i.e., genetic underpinnings).

To date, there is only one study that has examined differences in intrinsic connectivity *in vivo* in autistic adults (6). This study examined the intrinsic organization of the cortex using geodesic distances which represent the shortest path between two points (i.e. vertices) along the cortical surface, thus running in parallel to intrinsic horizontal connections (22). These distances were subsequently used to estimate intrinsic wiring costs. Global wiring costs were quantified by ‘mean separation distances’ (MSD) representing the average geodesic distance from a vertex to the rest of the surface. Local wiring costs were estimated using ‘geodesic circles’ projected onto the cortical surface. Here, the radius of a geodesic circle represents the ‘intra-areal’ wiring costs (i.e., costs required to wire the cortex within the circle), while the perimeter represents the ‘inter-

areal' wiring costs (i.e., costs associated with wiring the cortex outside the circle) (see supplement and SF1 for schematic illustration). The study by Ecker et al. (6) reported that the brain in autism is characterized by (i) reduced global wiring costs, (ii) increased inter-areal wiring costs, and (iii) decreased intra-areal wiring costs compared to the non-autistic brain suggesting that intrinsic measures of brain morphometry are particularly well suited to describe the neuroanatomy of autism, and are conceptually linked to specific neurobiological mechanisms.

So far, direct evidence linking differing intrinsic brain connectivity to specific genes and neurobiological mechanisms remain missing. However, studies leverage the power of the spatial gene expression data provided by the Allen Human Brain Atlas (AHBA, 24) to link imaging phenotypes to putative genetic mechanisms (25–27). Utilizing the AHBA, it is possible to genetically 'decode' patterns of neuroanatomical variability, i.e., to identify genes with a spatial pattern of expression resembling the neuroimaging map. The resulting list of genes with a significant spatial correlation can be tested for an enrichment of specific gene sets previously associated with autism.

The objective of the current project was therefore to (i) replicate and extend earlier finding on intrinsic wiring costs in a large and clinically heterogeneous sample of autistic individuals and controls provided by the EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP, 11), and (ii) to establish the putative genomic and/or molecular mechanisms mediating these differences in the brain to facilitate future subgrouping approaches.

Methods and Materials

Participants

The data used in this study was provided by the multicentered EU-AIMS LEAP project. A comprehensive description of the sample has been published elsewhere (11,25,28). In brief, N=359 (male=258,female=101) individuals with autism and N=279 (male=178,female=101) controls between the age of 6-30 years with structural MRI data were included in this study (Table 1). A detailed description of inclusion/exclusion criteria, clinical assessments, and medication status can be found elsewhere (Supplement and 25). Independent ethics committees approved the study, and written informed consent was obtained for all participants.

MRI data acquisition

All participants underwent MR-imaging in 3-T scanners located at six different sites using comparable acquisition paradigms: (i) University of Cambridge, U.K., (ii) King's College London, U.K., (iii) Central Institute of Mental Health, Mannheim, Germany, (iv) Radboud University Medical Centre, Netherlands, (v) University Medical Centre Utrecht, Netherlands and (vi) Rome University, Italy. For all participants, a high-resolution structural T1-weighted image was acquired with full head coverage (slice thickness=1.2mm, in plane resolution=1.2x1.2mm², see supplement TS2). Subsequently, these T1-weighted images were used for surface reconstruction with FreeSurfer software.

Cortical surface reconstruction using FreeSurfer

FreeSurfer, version_6.0.0 was used to obtain cortical surface representations for each T1-weighted image of N=708 individuals within the LEAP sample. These fully automated processes have been described in detail elsewhere (29,30). All surface reconstructions underwent thorough quality assessments (see 25). In total, a sample of N=638 individuals was used, N=359 in the autistic group and N=279 in the non-autistic group. For reasons of computational efficiency (6), surface reconstructions were downsampled to 40,962 vertices per hemisphere (*fsaverage6* template), and pial surfaces were used for the computation of global and local wiring costs.

Estimation of global wiring costs: Mean Separation Distance (MSD)

We initially computed Mean Separation Distances (MSD) to estimate the degree of global intrinsic wiring costs based on the individual's pial surface reconstruction. MSDs represent the average geodesic distance between each vertex and all other vertices characterizing the cortical surface (see supplement and SF1 for details). Geodesic distance computations were performed using the “Fast-Marching” toolbox for MATLAB (R2021a, The Mathworks) that provides ‘exact’ geodesic distances (31–33). This resulted in a n -by- n matrix of distances D with zero values in the diagonal, with n indicating the number of vertices of the surface. The elements of D thus hold the geodesic distance from a vertex to all other vertices. The mean values of row/columns (1-by- n) of this matrix represent the MSD for each vertex.

Estimation of local wiring costs: Radius Function and Perimeter Function

Subsequently, we estimated local wiring costs by means of geodesic circles. Each circle had a radius r and a perimeter p and covered 5% of the total surface area. This percentage was chosen as (i) it has been shown to elicit a stable cortical pattern incorporating both the high frequency local variations at lower scales, and more global trends at higher scales (see 22), and (ii) to make our findings comparable to previous reports (6). The resulting radius of each circle determines the ‘radius function’, and was used to estimate the ‘intra-areal’ wiring costs at that vertex, i.e. the minimum length of connections required to connect a vertex with other vertices inside this area. In turn, the perimeter of the circle was used to estimate the ‘inter-areal’ wiring costs at a vertex that indicate the length of connections required to wire a vertex with neighboring vertices outside the given area (6,22). We examined MSD, radius function, and perimeter function at each vertex with a 2mm smoothing kernel (6), and examined the robustness of the results across different smoothing filters (see supplement).

Surface-based statistical analyses

For statistical analyses, the SurfStat toolbox (<https://www.math.mcgill.ca/keith/surfstat/>) for MATLAB (33) and R (34) was used. Vertex-wise between-group differences in MSD, perimeter function, and radius function were examined with a general linear model (GLM) incorporating group, sex, and acquisition site as fixed effect factors, and age, full-scale IQ (FSIQ), and pial surface area (SA) as continuous covariates and ϵ_i is the residual error at vertex i .

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Group} + \beta_2 \text{Sex} + \beta_3 \text{Age} + \beta_4 \text{FSIQ} + \beta_5 \text{Site} + \beta_6 \text{SA} + \epsilon_i$$

Coefficient β_1 was used to estimate the between-group differences that were normalized by the corresponding standard error. Corrections for multiple comparisons were performed using random-field-theory based cluster analysis for non-isotropic images with a cluster-based significance threshold (p_{clust}) of 0.05 (two-tailed) (35). Effect sizes associated with each model term were assessed using Cohen's f , where values of 0.1, 0.25, and 0.4 indicate small, medium, and large effects, respectively. In the autistic group, brain-behavior correlations between differences in MSD, perimeter function, and radius function and the severity of autism traits were examined using Pearson's r . Autism traits were assessed using ADOS (36), ADI-R (37), SRS-2 (38), SSP(39), and RBS-R (40).

We also examined the effects of medication status and compared our results across distinct age-stratified subgroups, i.e., adults (>18years), adolescents (12-18years), children (<12years), and a group with mild learning disability, i.e., >12years and IQ<75 (see supplement for details). To compare differences in intrinsic wiring costs with other morphometric features, we also examined between-group differences in vertex-wise measures of surface area (SA) (41) and local gyrification index (IGi, 42), with focus on the relationship between variability in MSDs and SA (see supplement for details).

Gene Expression Decoding Analysis

To link the neuroanatomical findings of differences in intrinsic gray-matter wiring costs to putative genetic underpinnings, a gene expression decoding analysis (GEDA) was performed (25,27). The GEDA within Neurosynth/Neurovault (43,44) assessed the spatial correlation between gene expression data of N=20,787 genes from the Allen Human Brain Atlas (24) and the neuroanatomical findings from the vertex-wise between-group comparisons. A comprehensive description of the enrichment analyses is provided in the Supplement.

Results

Subject demographics

There were no differences between the autistic and non-autistic group in terms of age ($t(638)=0.28, p=0.78$), and total surface area ($t(638)=0.15, p=0.88$). However, autistic individuals had a significant lower full-scale IQ (mean=98.8, SD=9.73) than non-autistic controls (mean=104.75, SD=18.24) (

Table 1). We covaried for these measures in all subsequent analyses. Our sample included more autistic males than male controls, and more males than females (Table 1).

Differences in wiring costs

Global intrinsic wiring costs. Autistic individuals showed significant differences in MSDs compared to the control group. More specifically, the brain in autism showed significantly decreased MSDs in several clusters that included the (i) right lateral and medial prefrontal cortex (approximately Brodman area (BA) 10/11/46), (ii) left lateral orbitofrontal cortex and medial prefrontal cortex (BA 10/11), and (iii) left rostral middle frontal cortex and pars triangularis (BA 44/45/46) (Figure 1A,B). Effect sizes for the main effect of group (mean=0.04,SD=0.03) and all other model terms are displayed in Figure 1C. These differences are most pronounced during adulthood and adolescents (see Figure 2 and ST4) and are stable across smoothing filters (see SF6), and when covarying for medication status (see SF4).

Local intrinsic wiring costs. The brain in autism was also characterized by clusters with significantly increased perimeter function. Here, significant increases in autism were observed in the (i) right rostral middle frontal cortex (BA 46), (ii) right middle temporal cortex (BA 20/21), and in the (iii) left inferior parietal cortex (BA 39) (Figure 1A). Additionally, we observed clusters with significantly decreased radii. These decreases were predominantly observed in the (i) right rostral middle frontal cortex (BA 9/46), (ii) left inferior parietal cortex (BA 39), (iii) left supramarginal gyrus (BA 40), (iv) left superior frontal cortex (BA 9), (v) left lateral orbitofrontal cortex (BA 11),

and in the (vi) medial orbitofrontal cortex (BA 12/32) (Figure 1A). Effect sizes for the main effect of group (Perimeter function: mean=0.04,SD=0.03; radius function: mean=0.037,SD=0.03) and all other model terms are displayed in Figure 1C. For the perimeter function, these differences were most pronounced during adolescents, and across adolescence and adulthood for the radius function (Figure 2 and ST4).

Brain behavioral correlations. To assess the association between intrinsic global and local wiring costs and autistic traits, we correlated the average MSD, perimeter function, and radius function in significant clusters of the autistic group with the severity of autism traits. Within these clusters, increased perimeter function was significantly positive correlated ($0.14 < r < 0.2$) with severity of autism traits. There were no significant correlations between MSDs and radius function and measures of trait severity (Supplement SF2).

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis. To link imaging phenotypes to potential genetic underpinnings in autism, we performed a gene decoding analysis using the AHBA. This resulted in N=480, N=382 and N=322 significant genes for MSD, perimeter function, and radius function, respectively (nominal $p < 0.01$). These gene sets showed an enrichment for (i) differentially expressed genes (DEG) in autism, (ii) for biological pathways, and (iii) for different cell types and gene coexpression modules underpinning typical brain development. For MSD, we found an enrichment in downregulated DEGs, namely CTX.down.M10, CTX.down.M16, CTX.down.M4 (45) and ASD.DEGs.down (46) (Figure 3A) which have been associated with the Gene Ontology (GO) terms representing synaptic functioning and neuronal genes (45,46). Perimeter function was enriched

for DEGs that are upregulated or downregulated, namely CTX.up.M9, CTX.down.M16 (45), ASD.DEGs.up (46), and DEG (47) (Figure 3A) that have been linked to GO terms representing inflammatory response and neuronal firing rate (45,46). For radius function, we found an enrichment for DEGs that are upregulated in autism, namely CTX.up.M20 (45) (Figure 3A) which have been linked to GO terms representing development and regulation of cell differentiation (45). Furthermore, we found an enrichment for biological pathways of the KEGG Pathway database (48). The MSD gene list was enriched for the pathways of axonal guidance, calcium signaling pathway, and retrograde endocannabinoid signaling (Figure 3B). Perimeter function was enriched for pathways of neurodegeneration for multiple diseases and retrograde endocannabinoid signaling. Radius function was enriched for the calcium signaling pathway (Figure 3B).

Additionally, we observed an enrichment for coexpression modules underpinning typical brain development (49). More specifically, gene sets of MSD and radius function were significantly enriched for modules representing “synaptic transmission” (modules 2 and 15) that have been associated with GO terms calcium signaling, synaptic transmission, and neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction (49) (Figure 3C). Enrichment analysis resulted in an enrichment for different cell types (50), e.g., for excitatory neurons in MSD, for pericytes, oligodendrocyte precursor cells, excitatory neurons, and radial glia cells in perimeter function, and for endothelial cells, interneurons, and excitatory neurons in radius function (Figure 4). The pathway and process enrichment analysis conducted with Metascape highlighted several significant GO terms for MSD, perimeter function and radius function, e.g., nervous system development, synaptic signaling, and neuron projection morphogenesis (Supplement FS2).

Discussion

Here, we examined between-group differences in intrinsic wiring costs of the brain in a large and clinically diverse sample of autistic individuals and non-autistic controls and established their link to putative genomic mechanisms. Using measures of intrinsic wiring costs, we established that the intrinsic organization of the brain in autism differs significantly from the non-autistic brain in both global and local wiring costs. Differences in local wiring costs were also significantly correlated with the severity of autism traits. Brain regions with differences in intrinsic wiring costs were enriched for genes known to be implicated in autism. Our study therefore highlights the importance of examining intrinsic features of brain anatomy as putative biomarkers for autism that might aid future subgrouping approaches.

Initially, we assessed global wiring costs using Mean Separation Distances (MSDs) which represent the average length of connections required to wire each vertex to the rest of the cortex. We established that autistic individuals had significantly reduced MSDs in several frontal lobe regions including the dorsolateral-prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), the orbitofrontal cortex, and the pars triangularis. A similar pattern of differences in global wiring costs has been reported in an earlier study by Ecker et al., conducted in a smaller sample of male autistic adults (6). Notably, differences in the intrinsic organization of the cortex have also been reported in other mental health conditions such as major depression disorder (51), schizophrenia (52,53), and ADHD (54). However, the neuroanatomical patterns associated with these differences vary widely between conditions and are distinct from the pattern we observe in ASD. Moreover, the pattern of differences in intrinsic wiring costs only partially overlapped with the patterns of regional

variations associated with measures of surface area and/or IGI (42,55,56), suggesting that each feature measures distinct aspects of the cortical architecture (Supplement SF7). Differences in local wiring costs, on the other hand, were estimated based on the radius and perimeter function representing the wiring potential within and between a given cortical area, respectively. We observed decreased radius function, and increased perimeter function in autism, with largest effects in frontal, temporal, and parietal brain regions. The radius function is often inversely correlated with the perimeter function of the cortex, i.e., a decreased radius of a geodesic circle is associated with an increased perimeter. Consequently, in regions with significant differences in local wiring costs, a reduced radius function implies easier wiring within the region, while an increased perimeter function indicates a facilitated development of connections between areas. As with MSDs, a similar regional pattern of differences in local wiring costs has been reported in autism previously (6). Our study thus replicates prior findings in an independent sample of males and females, and children and adolescents, generalizing our findings to the wider autism spectrum.

Differences in intrinsic brain connectivity have previously been linked to a differential (i.e. a more non-uniform) expansion of the cortical surface (57), and a divergent growth of the brain in autism, particularly in frontal and temporal lobes, that may be driven by an accelerated cortical expansion of the surface and might lead to a higher degree of folding (57–59). In turn, the differential cortical expansion in autism may be related to the increased density of minicolumns and therefore to a reduced neuropil space (60,61), which mainly contains axons of GABA-ergic inhibitory interneurons (62). Consequently, this reduction in neuropil space may contribute to an imbalance between excitation to inhibition (i.e. E/I imbalance) (63,64), and a perturbed

formation of the brain's neurocircuitry, which has also been implicated in other neuropsychiatric conditions such as schizophrenia (65). Moreover, our analyses within age-stratified subgroups showed that differences in intrinsic wiring costs are age-dependent and may therefore be sensitive to different neurodevelopmental stages. However, while intrinsic measures of brain connectivity have been related to specific aspects of the cortical architecture, it is important to note that the wiring costs examined in this study are a theoretical measure, i.e., do not represent the actual length of connections per se, but rather indicate the 'wiring potential' within the cortical sheet. Within this framework, reduced global wiring costs may imply an facilitated development of shorter cortico-cortical fibers at the cost of longer connections (23), supporting the notion of a preference for local over global information processing in autism (66). Regions with reduced global wiring costs partially overlapped with regions previously shown to be functional overconnected in ASD (67,68), thus suggesting that reduced intrinsic wiring might also enhance the degree of functional connectivity. Furthermore, studies employing diffusion tensor imaging have reported reduced white matter connectivity in autism (69), which may suggest that the formation of the grey- and white-matter neurocircuitry of the brain in autism are linked (see also (70)).

Next, we examined the relationship between differing wiring costs and the severity of autism traits within the autism group. Notably, there were no significant correlations between significant clusters of MSDs and the severity of autism traits. Global features of intrinsic brain connectivity that cut across functionally specialized brain areas, may therefore not be specific enough to clearly delineate specific symptom domains. Differences in local wiring costs, which we observed in frontal, temporal and parietal regions were significantly correlated with the severity of social

and repetitive traits as measured by ADOS (36), ADI-R (37), RBS-R (40), SSP (39), and SRS-2 (38). Many of these brain regions are part of the large-scale neurocognitive networks underpinning autism traits, e.g., the ‘social brain’ network (71) and the cortico-striatal-thalamo circuitry (72) that has previously been associated with repetitive behavior in autism (73–75). However, it is important to note that the effect sizes associated with these brain-behavioral correlations are small, as these regions represent isolated components of the wider neurocognitive networks underpinning autism. Thus, future research employing spatially-unbiased vertex-wise approaches is needed to link differences in wiring costs to specific symptom domains.

Last, we leveraged the spatial gene expression data provided by the AHBA (24) to establish whether the patterns of differences in wiring cost also map onto the putative etiological mechanisms underpinning autism. Overall, we found that the pattern of differences in MSDs, perimeter function, and radius function were enriched for genes previously implicated in the etiology of autism by genetic and transcriptomic studies. For example, we observed an enrichment of genes known to be downregulated in the post-mortem cortex in autism, e.g. CTX.down.M10, CTX.down.M4, and CTX.down.M16, that map onto GO terms ‘synaptic functioning’ and ‘neuronal genes’ (45,46). Additionally, we tested for an enrichment of gene co-expression modules underpinning typical brain development based on the spatio-temporal transcriptomic atlas provided by Kang et al. (49) observing an enrichment of modules 2 and 15 for MSD and radius function, which are linked to the GO term ‘synaptic transmission’. Based on the E/I imbalance hypothesis, we tested for an enrichment of specific cell types (47). However, against our hypothesis of an involvement of inhibitory interneurons in particular, the pattern of differences we observed for MSDs, perimeter function and radius function were more closely

associated with the spatial gene expression patterns expressed in excitatory neurons. This implies that differences in global and local wiring costs cannot be attributed to intraneuronal gene expression signatures exclusively. Future histological studies are therefore needed to identify the specific neuro-architectural underpinning of our *in vivo* findings. We also functionally annotated the genes enriched within the MSD, perimeter function and radius function via pathway/process enrichment analysis using Metascape (76), where we observed a functional enrichment of several KEGG pathways and GO terms, i.e., ‘Nervous system development’, ‘Neuronal system’ (48), and ‘synaptic signaling’ (77). This is in line with previous genetic studies reporting a dysregulation of axonal growth and guidance in autism, and an atypical formation and functioning of synaptic connections (15–17). Thus, although it is difficult to determine whether imaging phenotypes are the cause or the result of autism, our finding of an enrichment of autism-associated genes suggests that intrinsic wiring costs are related to the genetic underpinnings of autism and might therefore be etiologically relevant.

There are several limitations to our study. First, as noted above, our study did not access intrinsic connections directly but estimated these using wiring costs. Wiring costs should therefore be considered a proxy measure representing the estimated ‘wiring potential’ of brain regions rather than the actual connection length (6). Second, we utilized the AHBA to link differences in brain connectivity to putative mechanisms which is the most comprehensive gene expression data set to date (24). However, the gene expression atlas contains data from adult donors exclusively while our sample also includes children and adolescents. Moreover, the coverage of the gene expression data within the AHBA is significantly lower than the spatial resolution of the neuroimaging data. Third, so far, we looked at between-group differences exclusively, which

typically have small effects due to the large heterogeneity of autism. In the future, it will be important to determine how such markers may be used to parse heterogeneity in autism, and whether putative neurobiological subgroups converge onto distinct clinical phenotypes and/or neurodevelopmental outcomes that could aid clinical decisions and facilitate personalized support approaches.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association (APA) (2013): *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 5. American Psychiatric Association. Retrieved from <https://psychiatryonline.org/doi/book/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596>
2. van Rooij D, Anagnostou E, Arango C, Auzias G, Behrmann M, Busatto GF, *et al.* (2018): Cortical and Subcortical Brain Morphometry Differences Between Patients With Autism Spectrum Disorder and Healthy Individuals Across the Lifespan: Results From the ENIGMA ASD Working Group. *AJP* 175: 359–369.
3. Vissers ME, Cohen MX, Geurts HM (2012): Brain connectivity and high functioning autism: A promising path of research that needs refined models, methodological convergence, and stronger behavioral links. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 36: 604–625.
4. Maximo JO, Cadena EJ, Kana RK (2014): The Implications of Brain Connectivity in the Neuropsychology of Autism. *Neuropsychology Review* 24: 16–31.
5. Rane P, Cochran D, Hodge SM, Haselgrove C, Kennedy D, Frazier JA (2015): Connectivity in Autism: A review of MRI connectivity studies. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 23: 223–244.

6. Ecker C, Ronan L, Feng Y, Daly E, Murphy C, Ginestet CE, *et al.* (2013): Intrinsic gray-matter connectivity of the brain in adults with autism spectrum disorder. *PNAS* 110: 13222–13227.
7. Keehn B, Shih P, Brenner LA, Townsend J, Müller R-A (2013): Functional connectivity for an “Island of sparing” in autism spectrum disorder: An fMRI study of visual search. *Human Brain Mapping* 34: 2524–2537.
8. Ha S, Sohn I-J, Kim N, Sim HJ, Cheon K-A (2015): Characteristics of Brains in Autism Spectrum Disorder: Structure, Function and Connectivity across the Lifespan. *Experimental Neurobiology* 24: 273–284.
9. Mohammad-Rezazadeh I, Frohlich J, Loo SK, Jeste SS (2016): Brain connectivity in autism spectrum disorder. *Current Opinion in Neurology* 29: 137–147.
10. Lawrence KE, Hernandez LM, Bookheimer SY, Dapretto M (2019): Atypical longitudinal development of functional connectivity in adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Research* 12: 53–65.
11. Charman T, Loth E, Tillmann J, Crawley D, Wooldridge C, Goyard D, *et al.* (2017): The EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP): Clinical characterisation. *Molecular Autism* 8: 1–21.
12. Abbott AE, Linke AC, Nair A, Jahedi A, Alba LA, Keown CL, *et al.* (2018): Repetitive behaviors in autism are linked to imbalance of corticostriatal connectivity: a functional connectivity MRI study. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 13: 32–42.

13. Lidstone DE, Rochowiak R, Mostofsky SH, Nebel MB (2021): A Data Driven Approach Reveals That Anomalous Motor System Connectivity is Associated With the Severity of Core Autism Symptoms. *Autism Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/AUR.2476>
14. McAlonan GM, Cheung V, Cheung C, Suckling J, Lam GY, Tai KS, *et al.* (2005): Mapping the brain in autism. A voxel-based MRI study of volumetric differences and intercorrelations in autism. *Brain* 128: 268–276.
15. McFadden K, Minshew N (2013): Evidence for dysregulation of axonal growth and guidance in the etiology of ASD. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 7: 671.
16. Darbandi SF, Schwartz SER, Qi Q, Catta-Preta R, Pai ELL, Mandell JD, *et al.* (2018): Neonatal Tbr1 Dosage Controls Cortical Layer 6 Connectivity. *Neuron* 100: 831-845.e7.
17. Darbandi SF, Schwartz SER, Pai ELL, Everitt A, Turner ML, Cheyette BNR, *et al.* (2020): Enhancing WNT Signaling Restores Cortical Neuronal Spine Maturation and Synaptogenesis in Tbr1 Mutants. *Cell Reports* 31: 107495.
18. Lewis DA, Melchitzky DS, Burgos G-G (2002): Specificity in the functional architecture of primate prefrontal cortex. *J Neurocytol* 31: 265–276.
19. Levitt JB, Lewis DA, Yoshioka T, Lund JS (1993): Topography of pyramidal neuron intrinsic connections in macaque monkey prefrontal cortex (areas 9 and 46). *Journal of Comparative Neurology* 338: 360–376.
20. Lund JS, Yoshioka T, Levitt JB (1993): Comparison of Intrinsic Connectivity in Different Areas of Macaque Monkey Cerebral Cortex. *Cerebral Cortex* 3: 148–162.

21. Ecker C, Ginestet C, Feng Y, Johnston P, Lombardo MV, Lai M-C, *et al.* (2013): Brain Surface Anatomy in Adults With Autism: The Relationship Between Surface Area, Cortical Thickness, and Autistic Symptoms. *JAMA Psychiatry* 70: 59–70.
22. Griffin L (1994): The Intrinsic Geometry of the Cerebral Cortex. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 166: 261–273.
23. Ronan L, Pienaar R, Williams G, Bullmore E, Crow TJ, Roberts N, *et al.* (2011): Intrinsic curvature: A marker of millimeter-scale tangential cortico-cortical connectivity? *Int J Neural Syst* 21: 351–366.
24. Hawrylycz MJ, Lein ES, Guillozet-Bongaarts AL, Shen EH, Ng L, Miller JA, *et al.* (2012): An anatomically comprehensive atlas of the adult human brain transcriptome. *Nature* 489: 391–399.
25. Ecker C, Pretzsch CM, Bletsch A, Mann C, Schaefer T, Ambrosino S, *et al.* (2021): Interindividual Differences in Cortical Thickness and Their Genomic Underpinnings in Autism Spectrum Disorder. *AJP* appi.ajp.2021.20050630.
26. Bieneck V, Bletsch A, Mann C, Schäfer T, Seelemeyer H, Herøy N, *et al.* (2021): Longitudinal Changes in Cortical Thickness in Adolescents with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Their Association with Restricted and Repetitive Behaviors [no. 12]. *Genes* 12: 2024.
27. Pretzsch CM, Schäfer T, Lombardo MV, Warrier V, Mann C, Bletsch A, *et al.* (2022): Neurobiological Correlates of Change in Adaptive Behavior in Autism. *AJP* 179: 336–349.
28. Loth E, Charman T, Mason L, Tillmann J, Jones EJJ, Wooldridge C, *et al.* (2017): The EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP): Design and methodologies to identify and

- validate stratification biomarkers for autism spectrum disorders. *Molecular Autism* 8: 1–19.
29. Dale AM, Fischl B, Sereno MI (1999): Cortical surface-based analysis. I. Segmentation and surface reconstruction. *Neuroimage* 9: 179–194.
30. Fischl B, Sereno MI, Dale AM (1999): Cortical Surface-Based Analysis: II: Inflation, Flattening, and a Surface-Based Coordinate System. *NeuroImage* 9: 195–207.
31. Kimmel R, Sethian JA (1998): Computing geodesic paths on manifolds. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 5.
32. Sethian JA (1996): A fast marching level set method for monotonically advancing fronts. *PNAS* 93: 1591–1595.
33. MATLAB, version 9.10.0 (R2021a) (2021): Natick, Massachusetts: The MathWorks Inc.
34. R Core Team (2021): R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienne, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <https://www.R-project.org/>
35. Worsley KJ, Andermann M, Koulis T, MacDonald D, Evans AC (1999): Detecting changes in nonisotropic images. *Hum Brain Mapp* 8: 98–101.
36. Lord C, Risi S, Lambrecht L, Cook EH, Leventhal BL, DiLavore PC, *et al.* (2000): The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule—Generic: A Standard Measure of Social and Communication Deficits Associated with the Spectrum of Autism. *J Autism Dev Disord* 30: 205–223.

37. Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A (1994): Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised: A revised version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord* 24: 659–685.
38. Constantino JN (2013): Social Responsiveness Scale. In: Volkmar FR, editor. *Encyclopedia of Autism Spectrum Disorders*. New York, NY: Springer, pp 2919–2929.
39. Tomchek SD, Huebner RA, Dunn W (2014): Patterns of sensory processing in children with an autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders* 8: 1214–1224.
40. Lam KSL, Aman MG (2007): The Repetitive Behavior Scale-Revised: Independent Validation in Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord* 37: 855–866.
41. Winkler AM, Greve DN, Bjuland KJ, Nichols TE, Sabuncu MR, Håberg AK, *et al.* (2018): Joint Analysis of Cortical Area and Thickness as a Replacement for the Analysis of the Volume of the Cerebral Cortex. *Cerebral Cortex* 28: 738–749.
42. Schaer M, Cuadra MB, Tamarit L, Lazeyras F, Eliez S, Thiran J-P (2008): A Surface-Based Approach to Quantify Local Cortical Gyrification. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 27: 161–170.
43. Gorgolewski KJ, Fox AS, Chang L, Schäfer A, Arélin K, Burmann I, *et al.* (2014): <p>Tight fitting genes: finding relations between statistical maps and gene expression patterns</p>. *F1000Research* 5. <https://doi.org/10.7490/f1000research.1097120.1>
44. Gorgolewski KJ, Varoquaux G, Rivera G, Schwarz Y, Ghosh SS, Maumet C, *et al.* (2015): NeuroVault.org: a web-based repository for collecting and sharing unthresholded statistical maps of the human brain. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* 9. Retrieved February 17, 2022, from <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fninf.2015.00008>

45. Parikshak NN, Swarup V, Belgard TG, Irimia M, Ramaswami G, Gandal MJ, *et al.* (2016): Genome-wide changes in lncRNA, splicing, and regional gene expression patterns in autism. *Nature* 540: 423–427.
46. Gandal MJ, Zhang P, Hadjimichael E, Walker RL, Chen C, Liu S, *et al.* (2018): Transcriptome-wide isoform-level dysregulation in ASD, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder. *Science* 362: eaat8127.
47. Velmeshev D, Schirmer L, Jung D, Haeussler M, Perez Y, Mayer S, *et al.* (2019): Single-cell genomics identifies cell type-specific molecular changes in autism. *Science* 364: 685–689.
48. Kanehisa M, Goto S (2000): KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 28: 27–30.
49. Kang HJ, Kawasawa YI, Cheng F, Zhu Y, Xu X, Li M, *et al.* (2011): Spatio-temporal transcriptome of the human brain. *Nature* 478: 483–489.
50. Polioudakis D, de la Torre-Ubieta L, Langerman J, Elkins AG, Shi X, Stein JL, *et al.* (2019): A single cell transcriptomic atlas of human neocortical development during mid-gestation. *Neuron* 103: 785-801.e8.
51. Zhang H, Qiu M, Ding L, Mellor D, Li G, Shen T, Peng D (2019): Intrinsic gray-matter connectivity of the brain in major depressive disorder. *J Affect Disord* 251: 78–85.
52. Ronan L, Voets NL, Hough M, Mackay C, Roberts N, Suckling J, *et al.* (2012): Consistency and interpretation of changes in millimeter-scale cortical intrinsic curvature across three independent datasets in schizophrenia. *Neuroimage* 63: 611–621.

53. Levman J, Kabaria P, Nangaku M, Takahashi E (2023): Morphological Abnormalities in Early-Onset Schizophrenia Revealed by Structural Magnetic Resonance Imaging [no. 3]. *Biology* 12: 353.
54. Forde NJ, Ronan L, Zwiers MP, Alexander-Bloch AF, Faraone SV, Oosterlaan J, *et al.* (2017): No Association between Cortical Gyrification or Intrinsic Curvature and Attention-deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Adolescents and Young Adults. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 11. Retrieved April 24, 2023, from <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2017.00218>
55. Schaer M, Ottet M-C, Scariati E, Dukes D, Franchini M, Eliez S, Glaser B (2013): Decreased frontal gyrification correlates with altered connectivity in children with autism. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 7. Retrieved May 3, 2023, from <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00750>
56. Kohli JS, Kinnear MK, Martindale IA, Carper RA, Müller R-A (2019): Regionally decreased gyrification in middle-aged adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Neurology* 93: e1900–e1905.
57. Ronan L, Voets N, Rua C, Alexander-Bloch A, Hough M, Mackay C, *et al.* (2014): Differential Tangential Expansion as a Mechanism for Cortical Gyrification. *Cerebral Cortex* 24: 2219–2228.
58. Hazlett HC, Poe MD, Gerig G, Styner M, Chappell C, Smith RG, *et al.* (2011): Early Brain Overgrowth in Autism Associated With an Increase in Cortical Surface Area Before Age 2 Years. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 68: 467–476.

59. Carper RA, Moses P, Tigue ZD, Courchesne E (2002): Cerebral lobes in autism: early hyperplasia and abnormal age effects. *Neuroimage* 16: 1038–1051.
60. Casanova MF, Buxhoeveden DP, Brown C (2002): Clinical and Macroscopic Correlates of Minicolumnar Pathology in Autism. *J Child Neurol* 17: 692–695.
61. Casanova MF, van Kooten IAJ, Switala AE, van Engeland H, Heinsen H, Steinbusch HWM, *et al.* (2006): Minicolumnar abnormalities in autism. *Acta Neuropathol* 112: 287–303.
62. Buxhoeveden D, Roy E, Switala A, Casanova MF (2000): Reduced interneuronal space in schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry* 47: 681–682.
63. Rubenstein JLR, Merzenich MM (2003): Model of autism: increased ratio of excitation/inhibition in key neural systems. *Genes Brain Behav* 2: 255–267.
64. Sohal VS, Rubenstein JLR (2019): Excitation-inhibition balance as a framework for investigating mechanisms in neuropsychiatric disorders [no. 9]. *Mol Psychiatry* 24: 1248–1257.
65. Foss-Feig JH, Adkinson BD, Ji JL, Yang G, Srihari VH, McPartland JC, *et al.* (2017): Searching for Cross-Diagnostic Convergence: Neural Mechanisms Governing Excitation and Inhibition Balance in Schizophrenia and Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Biological Psychiatry* 81: 848–861.
66. Happé F, Frith U (2006): The Weak Coherence Account: Detail-focused Cognitive Style in Autism Spectrum Disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord* 36: 5–25.
67. Holiga Š, Hipp JF, Chatham CH, Garces P, Spooren W, D’Ardhuy XL, *et al.* (2019): Patients with autism spectrum disorders display reproducible functional connectivity alterations. *Science Translational Medicine* 11: eaat9223.

68. Oldehinkel M, Mennes M, Marquand A, Charman T, Tillmann J, Ecker C, *et al.* (2019): Altered Connectivity Between Cerebellum, Visual, and Sensory-Motor Networks in Autism Spectrum Disorder: Results from the EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* 4: 260–270.
69. Ameis SH, Catani M (2015): Altered white matter connectivity as a neural substrate for social impairment in Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Cortex* 62: 158–181.
70. Ecker C, Andrews D, Dell’Acqua F, Daly E, Murphy C, Catani M, *et al.* (2016): Relationship Between Cortical Gyrification, White Matter Connectivity, and Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Cerebral Cortex* 26: 3297–3309.
71. Blakemore S-J (2008): The social brain in adolescence [no. 4]. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 9: 267–277.
72. Tian J, Gao X, Yang L (2022): Repetitive Restricted Behaviors in Autism Spectrum Disorder: From Mechanism to Development of Therapeutics. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 16. Retrieved April 20, 2023, from <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2022.780407>
73. Hoffmann E, Brück C, Kreifelts B, Ethofer T, Wildgruber D (2016): Reduced functional connectivity to the frontal cortex during processing of social cues in autism spectrum disorder. *J Neural Transm* 123: 937–947.
74. Koul A, Soriano M, Avenanti A, Cavallo A, Becchio C (2019): Investigating the causal role of frontal and parietal cortices in intention understanding: a cTBS study. *Brain Stimulation: Basic, Translational, and Clinical Research in Neuromodulation* 12: 485.
75. Sato W, Toichi M, Uono S, Kochiyama T (2012): Impaired social brain network for processing dynamic facial expressions in autism spectrum disorders. *BMC Neurosci* 13: 99.

76. Zhou Y, Zhou B, Pache L, Chang M, Khodabakhshi AH, Tanaseichuk O, *et al.* (2019): Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. *Nat Commun* 10: 1523.
77. Gene Ontology Resource (n.d.): *Gene Ontology Resource*. Retrieved June 22, 2022, from <http://geneontology.org/>

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of the EU-AIMS LEAP Group: Jumana Ahmad, Sara Ambrosino, Bonnie Auyeung, Tobias Banaschewski, Simon Baron-Cohen, Sarah Baumeister, Christian F. Beckmann, Sven Bölte, Thomas Bourgeron, Carsten Bours, Michael Brammer, Daniel Brandeis, Claudia Brogna, Yvette de Bruijn, Jan K. Buitelaar, Bhismadev Chakrabarti, Tony Charman, Ineke Cornelissen, Daisy Crawley, Flavio Dell'Acqua, Guillaume Dumas, Sarah Durston, Christine Ecker, Jessica Faulkner, Vincent Frouin, Pilar Garcés, David Goyard, Lindsay Ham, Hannah Hayward, Joerg Hipp, Rosemary Holt, Mark H. Johnson, Emily J.H. Jones, Prantik Kundu, Meng-Chuan Lai, Xavier Liogier D'ardhuy, Michael V. Lombardo, Eva Loth, David J. Lythgoe, René Mandl, Andre Marquand, Luke Mason, Maarten Mennes, Andreas Meyer-Lindenberg, Carolin Moessnang, Nico Bast, Declan G.M. Murphy, Bethany Oakley, Laurence O'Dwyer, Marianne Oldehinkel, Bob Oranje, Gahan Pandina, Antonio M. Persico, Barbara Ruggeri, Amber Ruigrok, Jessica Sabet, Roberto Sacco, Antonia San José Cáceres, Emily Simonoff, Will Spooren, Julian Tillmann, Roberto Toro, Heike Tost, Jack Waldman, Steve C.R. Williams, Caroline Wooldridge, and Marcel P. Zwiers. This project has received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking under grant agreement No 115300 for the project EU-AUIMS and No 777394 for the project AIMS-2- TRIALS. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and EFPIA and AUTISM SPEAKS, Autistica, SFARI. The primary contact for the EU-AIMS LEAP Group is Declan G. Murphy (Email: pa-dmurphy@kcl.ac.uk). CE gratefully acknowledges support from the German Research Foundation (DFG) under the Heisenberg Programme (EC480/1-1 and EC480/2-1). DGM also

acknowledges support from the NIHR Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre. DLF is supported by funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 101025785. This work has received funding from Horizon Europe [grant agreement no. 101057385] and from UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) under the UK government's Horizon Europe funding guarantee [grant no.10039383] (R2D2-MH).

Disclosure

The IHI-JU2 had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results. Any views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the IHI-JU2. Jan K. Buitelaar has been in the past 3 years a consultant to/member of advisory board of/and/or speaker for Janssen Cilag BV, Takeda/Shire, Roche, Novartis, Medice, Angelini, and Servier. He is not an employee of any of these companies and not a stock shareholder of any of these companies. He has no other financial or material support, including expert testimony, patents, and royalties. Tobias Banaschewski has served in an advisory or consultancy role for Actelion, Hexal Pharma, Lilly, Medice, Novartis, Oxford outcomes, Otsuka, PCM scientific, Shire and Viforpharma. He received conference support or speaker's fee by Medice, Novartis and Shire. He is/has been involved in clinical trials conducted by Shire and Viforpharma. He received royalties from Hogrefe, Kohlhammer, CIP Medien, and Oxford University Press. The present work is unrelated to the above grants and relationships. Julian Tillmann is a consultant for F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. Chris

Chatham is an employee of F. Hoffmann–La Roche. Tony Charman has received research grant support from the Medical Research Council (UK), the National Institute for Health Research, Horizon 2020 and the Innovative Medicines Initiative (European Commission), MQ, Autistica, FP7 (European Commission), the Charles Hawkins Fund, and the Waterloo Foundation. He has served as a consultant to F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and Servier. He has received royalties from Sage Publications and Guilford Publications. Declan Murphy has received consultancy fees from Roche and Servier, and grant support from the Medical Research Council (UK), the National Institute for Health Research, Horizon 2020 and the Innovative Medicines Initiative (European Commission). Dr. Jones has received funding from Action Medical Research, EU Horizon 2020, the Innovative Medicines Initiative, the Medical Research Council (UK), MQ: Transforming Mental Health, and the Economic and Social Research Council. Dr. Bölte has served as an author, consultant, or lecturer for Ability Partner, Eli Lilly, Expo Medica, GLGroup, Kompetento, Medice, Prima Psychiatry, Prophase, Roche, Shire, and System Analytic, and he receives royalties for textbooks and diagnostic tools from Huber/Hogrefe, Kohlhammer, and UTB. Dr. Meyer-Lindenberg has served as a consultant for the Agence Nationale de la Recherche, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Atheneum Partners, Blueprint Partnership, Boehringer Ingelheim, the Brain Mind Institute, BrainsWay, the Catania International Summer School of Neuroscience, Daimler und Benz Stiftung, Elsevier, the Fondation FondaMental, Janssen-Cilag, Hoffmann–La Roche, ICARE Schizophrenia, K.G. Jebsen Foundation, L.E.K. Consulting, The LOOP Zürich, Lundbeck A/S, Lundbeck International Neuroscience Foundation, MedinCell, Roche Pharma, Sage Therapeutics, Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma, Synapsis Foundation–Alzheimer Research Switzerland, System Analytics, Techspert.io, Thieme Verlag, and von Behring Röntgen Stiftung,

and he has received speaking fees from BAG Psychiatrie Oberbayern, Biotest AG, Boehringer Ingelheim, Fama Public Relations, Forum Werkstatt Karlsruhe, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics, Janssen-Cilag, Klinik für Psychiatrie und Psychotherapie, Klinikum Christophsbad, Göppingen, Lilly Deutschland, Lundbeck SAS France, Luzerner Psychiatrie, LVR Klinikum Düsseldorf, LWL Psychiatrie Verbund Westfalen-Lippe, Med Update GmbH, MerzStiftung, Otsuka Pharmaceuticals, Reunions i Ciència S.L., Siemens Healthineers, Spanish Society of Psychiatry, Südwestrundfunk Fernsehen, Stern TV, and Vitos Klinikum Kurhessen. Dr. Baron-Cohen has served as an author, consultant, or lecturer for Ability Partner, Clarion Healthcare, Expo Medica, Eli Lilly, GLGroup, Kompetento, Medice, Prima Psychiatry, Prophase, Roche, Shire, and System Analytic; he receives royalties for textbooks and diagnostic tools from Huber/Hogrefe, Kohlhammer, and UTB. Dr. Spooren is an employee of F. Hoffmann–La Roche. All other authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Johanna Leyhausen, Tim Schäfer, Caroline Gurr, Lisa M. Berg, Hanna Seelemeyer, Charlotte M. Pretzsch, Eva Loth, Bethany Oakley, Christian F. Beckmann, Dorothea L. Floris, Thomas Bourgeron, Emily Jones, and Christine Ecker reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Table 1: Table of autistic participants and non-autistic control subjects. Age ranged from 6 to 30 in the autistic group and the non-autistic group. Full-scale IQ ranged from 40 to 148 in the autistic group and from 50 to 142 in the non-autistic group. The ADI scores from social interaction scale ranged from 0 to 29, from the communication scale from 0 to 26 and from the restricted and repetitive behaviors scale from 0 to 12. The ADOS scores ranged from 2 to 10 for the total score, from 3 to 10 for the social affect score and from 1 to 10 for the restricted and repetitive score. ADI-R = Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised; ADOS= Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, SRS = Social Responsiveness Scale-2, RBS-R = Repetitive Behaviors Scale- Revised, SSP = Short Sensory Profiles.

Variable	Non-autistic Group (N=279)		Autistic Group (N=359)		Statistic		
	N	%	N	%	χ^2	df	p
Sex					4.72	1	0.03*
Male	178	63.8	258	71.9			
Female	101	36.2	101	28.1			
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t	df	p
Age (years)	17.35	5.9	17.49	5.51	0.3	577	0.76
Full-scale IQ	104.75	18.25	98.92	19.76	-3.86	617	0.0001*
ADI-R scores							
Social interaction			16.7	6.69			
Communication			13.24	5.63			
Restricted and repetitive behaviour			4.3	2.66			
ADOS scores							
Social affect			6.12	2.6			
Restricted and repetitive behaviour			4.63	2.7			
SRS-2			70.11	12.1			
RBS-R			16.34	13.94			
SSP			139.43	27.27			
Total surface area	228532	22854.8	228852	24246.4	0.17	613	0.86
Mean separation distance	122.94	5.61	122.91	5.71	-0.05	602	0.95
Perimeter Function	291.39	14.98	291.89	15.13	0.42	600	0.67
Radius Function	41.08	1.92	41.09	1.93	0.04	600	0.97

Figure 1: Neuroanatomical results. Panel A shows the t statistics for the unthresholded contrast autism against non-autistic controls for MSD, perimeter function and radius function, respectively. Panel B shows clusters with significantly increased (orange) and decreased (blue) MSD, perimeter function and radius function in autism relative to controls (random-field-theory-based cluster corrected $p < 0.05$, two-tailed). Panel C shows the effect sizes associated with individual model terms. MSD = Mean separation distance; ASD = Autism Spectrum Disorder; SA = Total Surface Area; FSIQ = Full-scale IQ, L = left, R = right.

Figure 2: Neuroanatomical results within age groups.

Clusters are significantly increased (orange) and decreased (blue) for MSDs, perimeter function and radius function relative to controls (random field-theory-based cluster corrected $p < 0.05$, two-tailed). Panel A highlights differences in the adult group, i.e. > 18 years. Panel B highlights differences in the adolescent group, i.e. 12 to 18 years. Panel C highlights differences within the children group, i.e. < 12 years. Panel D highlights differences in the adult and adolescent group, i.e. > 12 years with an IQ < 75 . MSD = Mean separation distance.

Figure 3: Gene set enrichment analysis. Panel A shows significant odds ratios at a false discovery rate (FDR) corrected p threshold of 0.01 resulting from the gene set enrichment analyses for genes expressed in the different t-maps for MSD, perimeter function and radius function, respectively. Gene sets were subdivided into sets with differential gene expression in autism and sets representing ASD risk genes that contain either common variants (ASD.risk.common) or rare de novo variants (ASD.risk.DeNovo). Gene sets are annotated and labeled based on their original

publication. CTX = cortex; DEG = differentially expressed gene; down = down-regulated expression in autism; up = upregulated expression in autism. Panel B shows genes sets taken from different KEGG pathways. Axon_Gui = Axonal Guidance, Cal_Sig = Calcium Signaling pathway, Cell_Adh = Cell Adhesion, Endocan_Sig = Retrograde Endocannabinoid Signaling, Neurodeg = Pathways of Neurodegeneration. Panel C shows set enrichment of genes mediating typical brain development as reported in the spatiotemporal transcriptome data set provided by Kang et al. (47). Set names contain their respective coexpression module label (e.g., M1), followed by their functional description based on their Gene Ontology term enrichment. Panel D shows spatiotemporal expression profiles of brain gene modules significantly enriched in the MSD map for module 2 (left panel) enriched for genes implicated in synaptic transmission, and for module 15 (right panel) enriched for synaptic transmission. The x-axis shows the developmental time frame (pcw=postconception weeks) and the y-axis shows the different brain regions: OFC=orbital prefrontal cortex; DFC=dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; VFC=ventrolateral prefrontal cortex; MFC=medial prefrontal cortex; M1C=primary motor (M1) cortex; S1C=primary somatosensory (S1) cortex; IPC=posterior inferior parietal cortex; A1C=primary auditory (A1) cortex; STC=superior temporal cortex; ITC=inferior temporal cortex; V1C=primary visual (V1) cortex; HIP=hippocampus; AMY=amygdala; STR=striatum; MD=mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus; CBC=cerebellar cortex.

Figure 4: Cell type enrichment analyses. Panel A is a schematic illustration of cell types in germinal zones of the developing cortex, adapted from Polioudakis et al. (50). CP=cortical plate; Cpi=inner cortical plate; Cpo=outer cortical plate; SP=subplate; IZ=intermediate zone;

SVZ=subventricular zone; iSVZ=inner subventricular zone; oSVZ=outer subventricular zone; VZ=ventricular zone; RG=radial glia; IP=intermediate progenitor; MN=newborn migrating excitatory neuron; EN=excitatory neuron; IN=interneuron; O=oligodendrocyte precursor; E=endothelial cell; P=pericyte; M=microglia. Panel B shows cell-type enrichment odds ratios and associated $-\log_{10}(q)$ values for gene sets expressed in the t-map for MSD. Cell types are colored and labeled based on Polioudakis et al. (50) (see also Figure 4A). MP=mitotic progenitor; OPC=oligodendrocyte precursors; CGE/MGE=caudal and medial ganglionic eminence-derived interneurons; IP=intermediate progenitors; oRG/vRG=outer and ventricular radial glia. Panel C shows cell-type enrichment odds ratios and associated $-\log_{10}(q)$ values for gene sets expressed in the t-map for perimeter function. Panel D shows cell-type enrichment odds ratios and associated $-\log_{10}(q)$ values for gene sets expressed in the t-map for radius function.