This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Bacterial diversity shift determined by different diets in the gut of the spotted wing fly Drosophila suzukii is primarily reflected on acetic acid bacteria / Vacchini, V., Gonella, E., Crotti, E., Prosdocimi, E.m., Mazzetto, F., Chouaia, B., Callegari, M., Mapelli, M., Mandrioli, M., Alma, A., Daffonchio, D.. - In: ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY REPORTS. - ISSN 1758-2229. - STAMPA. - 9:2(2017), pp. 91-103. [10.1111/1758-2229.12505]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

28/11/2026 05:12

(Article begins on next page)

creative commons

ARS MATHEMATICA CONTEMPORANEA

Also available at http://amc-journal.eu
ISSN 1855-3966 (printed edn.), ISSN 1855-3974 (electronic edn.)
ARS MATHEMATICA CONTEMPORANEA 14 (2018) 1–14

Octahedral, dicyclic and special linear solutions of some Hamilton-Waterloo problems

Simona Bonvicini

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Informatiche e Matematiche, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Via Campi 213/A, 41125 Modena, Italy

Marco Buratti

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Perugia, Via Vanvitelli 1, 06123 Perugia, Italy

Received 21 April 2016, accepted 23 August 2016, published online 22 March 2017

Abstract

We give a sharply-vertex-transitive solution of each of the nine Hamilton-Waterloo problems left open by Danziger, Quattrocchi and Stevens.

Keywords: Hamilton-Waterloo problem, group action, octahedral binary group, dicyclic group, special linear group.

Math. Subj. Class.: 05C70, 05E18, 05B10

1 Introduction

A cycle decomposition of a simple graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a set $\mathcal{D}$ of cycles whose edges partition E. A partition $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ into classes (2-*factors*) each of which covers all V exactly once is said to be a 2-*factorization* of Γ. The *type* of a 2-factor F is the partition $\pi = [\ell_1^{n_1}, \ldots, \ell_t^{n_t}]$ (written in exponential notation) of the integer $|V|$ into the lengths of the cycles of F.

A 2-factorization $\mathcal{F}$ of K_v (the complete graph of order v) or $K_v - I$ (the cocktail party graph of order v) whose 2-factors are all of the same type π is a solution of the so-called Oberwolfach Problem OP$(v; \pi)$. If instead the 2-factors of $\mathcal{F}$ are of two different types π and ψ, then $\mathcal{F}$ is a solution of the so-called Hamilton-Waterloo Problem HWP$(v; \pi, \psi; r, s)$ where r and s denote the number of 2-factors of $\mathcal{F}$ of type π and ψ, respectively.

A complete solution of the OPs whose 2-factors are uniform, namely of the form OP$(\ell n; [\ell^n])$, has been given in [1] and [12]. Other important classes of OPs has been

E-mail addresses: simona.bonvicini@unimore.it (Simona Bonvicini), buratti@dmi.unipg.it (Marco Buratti)

This work is licensed under http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/

solved in [4, 15]. For the time being, to look for a solution to all possible OPs and, above all, HWPs is too ambitious. Anyway it is reasonable to believe that we are not so far from a complete solution of the HWPs whose 2-factors are uniform, namely of the form HWP$(v;[h^{v/h}],[w^{v/w}];r,s)$. We can say this especially because of the big progress recently done in [10].

Danziger, Quattrocchi and Stevens [11] treated the HWPs whose 2-factors are either triangle-factors or quadrangle-factors, they namely studied HWP$(12n;[3^{4n}],[4^{3n}];r,s)$. In the following such an HWP will be denoted, more simply, by HWP$(12n;3,4;r,s)$. They solved this problem for all possible triples (n,r,s) except the following ones:

(i) $(4,r,23-r)$ with $r\in\{5,7,9,13,15,17\}$;

(ii) $(2,r,11-r)$ with $r\in\{5,7,9\}$.

Six of the nine above problems have been recently solved in [14] where it was pointed out that all nine problems were also solved in a work still in preparation [2] by the authors of the present paper. Meanwhile, a solution for each of the remaining three problems not considered in [14] have been given in [16]. Notwithstanding, in the present paper we want to present our solutions to the nine HWPs left open by Danziger, Quattrocchi and Stevens in detail. These solutions, differently from those of [14, 16], are full of symmetries since they are *G-regular* for a suitable group G. We recall that a cycle decomposition (or 2-factorization) of a graph Γ is said to be G-regular when it admits G as an automorphism group acting sharply transitively on all vertices. Here is explicitly our main result:

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a $\overline{O}$-regular 2-factorization of $K_{48}-I$ having r triangle-factors and $23-r$ quadrangle-factors where $\overline{O}$ is the binary octahedral group and $r\in\{5,7,9,13,15,17\}$.*

There exists a Q_{24}-regular 2-factorization of $K_{24}-I$ having r triangle-factors and $11-r$ quadrangle-factors where Q_{24} is the dicyclic group of order 24 and $r\in\{7,9\}$.

There exists a $SL_2(3)$-regular 2-factorization of $K_{24}-I$ having six triangle-factors and five quadrangle-factors where $SL_2(3)$ is the 2-dimensional special linear group over $\mathbb{Z}_3$.

2 Some preliminaries

The use of the *classic* method of differences allowed to get cyclic (namely Z_v-regular) solutions of some HWPs in [8, 9, 13]. Now we summarize, in the shortest possible way, the method of *partial differences*. This method, explained in [7] and successfully applied in many papers (see, especially, [6]), has been also useful for the investigation of G-regular 2-factorizations of a complete graph of odd order [9]. The G-regular 2-factorizations of a cocktail party graph can be treated similarly.

Throughout this paper any group G will be assumed to be written multiplicatively and its identity element will be denoted by 1. Let Ω be a *symmetric* subset of a group G; this means that $1\notin\Omega$ and that $\omega\in\Omega$ if and only if $\omega^{-1}\in\Omega$. The *Cayley graph* on G with *connection-set* Ω, denoted by $\mathrm{Cay}[G:\Omega]$, is the simple graph whose vertices are the elements of G and whose edges are all 2-subsets of G of the form $\{g,\omega g\}$ with $(g,\omega)\in G\times\Omega$.

Remark 2.1. If λ is an involution of a group G, then $\mathrm{Cay}[G:G\setminus\{1,\lambda\}]$ is isomorphic to $K_{|G|}-I$. So, in the following, such a Cayley graph will be always identified with the cocktail party graph of order $|G|$.

Let $Cycle(G)$ be the set of all cycles with vertices in G and consider the natural right action of G on $Cycle(G)$ defined by $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)^g = (c_1g, c_2g, \ldots, c_ng)$ for every $C = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n) \in Cycle(G)$ and every $g \in G$. The stabilizer and the orbit of any $C \in Cycle(G)$ under this action will be denoted by $Stab(C)$ and $Orb(C)$, respectively. The *list of differences* of $C \in Cycle(G)$ is the multiset ΔC of all possible quotients xy^{-1} with (x, y) an ordered pair of adjacent vertices of C. One can see that the multiplicity $m_{\Delta C}(g)$ of any element $g \in G$ in ΔC is a multiple of the order of $Stab(C)$. Thus it makes sense to speak of the *list of partial differences* of C as the multiset ∂C on G in which the multiplicity of any $g \in G$ is defined by

$$m_{\partial C}(g) := \frac{m_{\Delta C}(g)}{|Stab(C)|}.$$

We underline the fact that ∂C is, in general, a multiset. Note that if ∂C is a set, namely without repeated elements, then it is symmetric so that it makes sense to speak of the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}[G : \partial C]$. The following elementary but crucial result holds.

Lemma 2.2. *If $C \in Cycle(G)$ and ∂C does not have repeated elements, then $Orb(C)$ is a G-regular cycle-decomposition of* $\mathrm{Cay}[G : \partial C]$.

By Remark 2.1, as an immediate consequence of the above lemma we can state the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let λ be an involution of a group G. If $\{C_1, \ldots, C_t\}$ is a subset of $Cycle(G)$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \partial C_i = G \setminus \{1, \lambda\}$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} Orb(C_i)$ is a G-regular cycle-decomposition of $K_{|G|} - I$.*

We need, as last ingredient, the following easy remarks.

Remark 2.4. If $C \in Cycle(G)$ and $V(C)$ is a subgroup of G, then $Orb(C)$ is a 2-factor of the complete graph on G whose stabilizer is the whole G.

If $C_1, \ldots, C_t$ are cycles of $Cycle(G)$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} V(C_i)$ is a complete system of representatives for the left cosets of a subgroup S of G, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} Orb_S(C_i)$ is a 2-factor of the complete graph on G whose stabilizer is S.

3 Octahedral solutions of six Hamilton-Waterloo problems

Throughout this section G will denote the so-called *binary octahedral group* which is usually denoted by $\overline{O}$. This group, up to isomorphism, can be viewed as a group of units of the skew-field $\mathbb{H}$ of *quaternions* introduced by Hamilton, that is an extension of the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. We recall the basic facts regarding $\mathbb{H}$. Its elements are all real linear combinations of 1, i, j and k. The sum and the product of two quaternions are defined in the natural way under the rules that

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1.$$

If $q = a + bi + cj + dk \neq 0$, then the inverse of q is given by

$$q^{-1} = \frac{a - bi - cj - dk}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}.$$

The 48 elements of the multiplicative group G are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}&\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k;\\ &\tfrac{1}{2}(\pm 1 \pm i \pm j \pm k);\\ &\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm x \pm y), \quad \{x, y\} \in \tbinom{\{1,i,j,k\}}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

The use of the octahedral group G was crucial in [3] to get a Steiner triple system of any order $v = 96n + 49$ with an automorphism group acting sharply transitively an all but one point. Here G will be used to get a G-regular solution of each of the six Hamilton-Waterloo problems of order 48 left open in [11]. We will need to consider the following subgroups of G of order 16 and 12, respectively:

- $K = \langle k,\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j-k)\rangle$;
- $L = \langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j-k),\ \frac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k)\rangle$.

3.1 An octahedral solution of HWP$(48; 3, 4; 5, 18)$

Consider the nine cycles of $Cycle(G)$ defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}C_1 &= \big(1,\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j-k)\big)\\ C_2 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i-j-k)\big)\\ C_3 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j-k),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i-j+k)\big)\\ C_4 &= \big(1,\ k,\ -1,\ -k\big)\\ C_5 &= \big(1,\ j,\ -1,\ -j\big)\\ C_6 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+k),\ -\tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j+k),\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j+k)\big)\\ C_7 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-j),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j+k)\big)\\ C_8 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j-k),\ k,\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j)\big)\\ C_9 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-i),\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j-k)\big)\end{aligned}$$

We note that $Stab(C_i) = V(C_i)$ for $2 \le i \le 5$ while all other C_i's have trivial stabilizer. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, one can check that $Orb(C_i)$ is a ℓ_i-cycle decomposition of $\mathrm{Cay}[G : \Omega_i]$ where ℓ_i is the length of C_i and where the Ω_i's are the symmetric subsets of G listed below.

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_1 &= \{-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j-k), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i)\}^{\pm 1}\\ \Omega_2 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k)\}^{\pm 1}\\ \Omega_3 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j-k)\}^{\pm 1}\\ \Omega_4 &= \{k\}^{\pm 1}\\ \Omega_5 &= \{j\}^{\pm 1}\\ \Omega_6 &= \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j-k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j+k)\}^{\pm 1}\end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega_7 = \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-j), \tfrac{1}{2}(1+i-j-k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+j), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j+k)\}^{\pm 1}$$
$$\Omega_8 = \{\tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j-k), -\tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j+k), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+k), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j)\}^{\pm 1}$$
$$\Omega_9 = \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-i), i, \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j), \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j-k)\}^{\pm 1}$$

One can see that the Ω_i's partition $G \setminus \{1,-1\}$. Thus, by Theorem 2.3 we can say that $\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{9} Orb_G(C_i)$ is a G-regular cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$. Now set $F_i = Orb_{S_i}(C_i)$ where

$$S_i = \begin{cases} K & \text{for } i = 1; \\ G & \text{for } 2 \le i \le 5; \\ L & \text{for } 6 \le i \le 9. \end{cases}$$

By Remark 2.4, each F_i is a 2-factor of $K_{48} - I$ with $Stab(F_i) = S_i$, hence $Orb(F_i)$ has length 3 or 1 or 4 according to whether $i = 1$, or $2 \le i \le 5$, or $6 \le i \le 9$, respectively. The cycles of F_i are triangles or quadrangles according to whether or not $i \le 3$. Thus, recalling that $\mathcal{C}$ is a cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{9} Orb(F_i)$ is a G-regular 2-factorization of $K_{48} - I$ with 5 triangle-factors and 18 quadrangle-factors, namely a G-regular solution of HWP$(48; 3, 4; 5, 18)$.

3.2 An octahedral solution of HWP$(48; 3, 4; 7, 16)$

Consider the seven cycles of $Cycle(G)$ defined as follows.

$$C_1 = \big(1, -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+j), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j+k)\big)$$
$$C_2 = \big(1, \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j-k)\big)$$
$$C_3 = \big(1, \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j-k), \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i-j+k)\big)$$
$$C_4 = \big(1, \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+k), \tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j-k), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j+k)\big)$$
$$C_5 = \big(1, \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-j), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i)\big)$$
$$C_6 = \big(1, \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+k), -\tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j+k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j)\big)$$
$$C_7 = \big(1, -\tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j+k), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j-k), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j+k)\big)$$

We note that $Stab(C_3) = V(C_3)$ while all other C_i's have trivial stabilizer. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, one can check that $Orb(C_i)$ is a ℓ_i-cycle decomposition of $\mathrm{Cay}[G : \Omega_i]$ where ℓ_i is the length of C_i and where the Ω_i's are the symmetric subsets of G listed below.

$$\Omega_1 = \{-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+j), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j+k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-j+k)\}^{\pm 1}$$
$$\Omega_2 = \{\tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j-k), \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j-k)\}^{\pm 1}$$
$$\Omega_3 = \{\tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j-k)\}^{\pm 1}$$
$$\Omega_4 = \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+k), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+k), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j+k)\}^{\pm 1}$$
$$\Omega_5 = \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-j), -j, \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j-k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i)\}^{\pm 1}$$
$$\Omega_6 = \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1+j), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j)\}^{\pm 1}$$
$$\Omega_7 = \{-\tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j+k), -i, -k, \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j+k)\}^{\pm 1}$$

One can see that the Ω_i's partition $G \setminus \{1, -1\}$. Thus, by Theorem 2.3 we can say that $\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{7} Orb_G(C_i)$ is a G-regular cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$. Now set $F_i = Orb_{S_i}(C_i)$ where

$$S_i = \begin{cases} K & \text{for } i = 1, 2; \\ G & \text{for } i = 3; \\ L & \text{for } 4 \leq i \leq 7. \end{cases}$$

By Remark 2.4, each F_i is a 2-factor of $K_{48} - I$ with $Stab_G(F_i) = S_i$, hence $Orb_G(F_i)$ has length 3 or 1 or 4 according to whether $i = 1, 2$ or $i = 3$ or $4 \leq i \leq 7$, respectively.

The cycles of F_i are triangles or quadrangles according to whether or not $i \leq 3$. Thus, recalling that $\mathcal{C}$ is a cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{7} Orb_G(F_i)$ is a G-regular 2-factorization of $K_{48} - I$ with 7 triangle-factors and 16 quadrangle-factors, namely a G-regular solution of HWP$(48; 3, 4; 7, 16)$.

3.3 An octahedral solution of HWP$(48; 3, 4; 9, 14)$

Consider the eight cycles of $Cycle(G)$ defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+j),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j-k)\big) \\
C_2 &= \big(1,\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j)\big) \\
C_3 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1+i-j+k)\big) \\
C_4 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+k),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-i),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j-k)\big) \\
C_5 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-j),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j+k),\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j+k)\big) \\
C_6 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-i),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j+k)\big) \\
C_7 &= \big(1,\ k,\ -1,\ -k\big) \\
C_8 &= \big(1,\ j,\ -1,\ -j\big)
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $Stab(C_i) = V(C_i)$ for $i = 7, 8$ while all other C_i's have trivial stabilizer. By Lemma 2.2, one can check that $Orb(C_i)$ is a ℓ_i-cycle decomposition of $\mathrm{Cay}[G : \Omega_i]$ where ℓ_i is the length of C_i and where the Ω_i's are the symmetric subsets of G listed below.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_1 &= \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+j), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j-k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1+i)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_2 &= \{-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j), \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j+k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_3 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k), \tfrac{1}{2}(1+i-j+k), \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i-j+k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_4 &= \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+k), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j+k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+k), \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j-k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_5 &= \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-j), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j-k), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j+k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_6 &= \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i), i, \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j+k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_7 &= \{k\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_8 &= \{j\}^{\pm 1}
\end{aligned}$$

Now note that the Ω_i's partition $G\setminus\{1, -1\}$. Thus, by Theorem 2.3 we can say that $\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{8} Orb(C_i)$ is a G-regular cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$. Now set $F_i = Orb_{S_i}(C_i)$

where

$$S_i = \begin{cases} K & \text{for } 1 \le i \le 3; \\ L & \text{for } 4 \le i \le 6; \\ G & \text{for } i = 7, 8. \end{cases}$$

By Remark 2.4, each F_i is a 2-factor of $K_{48} - I$ with $Stab_G(F_i) = S_i$, hence $Orb_G(F_i)$ has length 3 or 4 or 1 according to whether $1 \le i \le 3$ or $4 \le i \le 6$ or $i = 7, 8$, respectively. The cycles of F_i are triangles or quadrangles according to whether or not $i \le 3$. Thus, recalling that $\mathcal{C}$ is a cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{8} Orb_G(F_i)$ is a G-regular 2-factorization of $K_{48} - I$ with 9 triangle-factors and 14 quadrangle-factors, namely a G-regular solution of HWP$(48; 3, 4; 9, 14)$.

3.4 An octahedral solution of HWP$(48; 3, 4; 13, 10)$

Consider the nine cycles of $Cycle(G)$ defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= \big(1,\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+j),\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j)\big) \\
C_2 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j-k),\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+k)\big) \\
C_3 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+j),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j-k)\big) \\
C_4 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i-j+k),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-k)\big) \\
C_5 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i-j-k)\big) \\
C_6 &= \big(1,\ k,\ -1,\ -k\big) \\
C_7 &= \big(1,\ j,\ -1,\ -j\big) \\
C_8 &= \big(1,\ -\tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j+k),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i-j+k),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j)\big) \\
C_9 &= \big(1,\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+k),\ -k,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j-k)\big)
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $Stab(C_i) = V(C_i)$ for $5 \le i \le 7$ while all other C_i's have trivial G-stabilizer. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, one can check that $Orb(C_i)$ is a ℓ_i-cycle decomposition of $\mathrm{Cay}[G : \Omega_i]$ where ℓ_i is the length of C_i and where the Ω_i's are the symmetric subsets of G listed below.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_1 &= \{-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+j), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j), \tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j-k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_2 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j-k), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_3 &= \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+j), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j-k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j-k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_4 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i-j+k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-k), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j+k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_5 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_6 &= \{k\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_7 &= \{j\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_8 &= \{-\tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j+k), i, \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1+i), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_9 &= \{-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j+k), \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j-k)\}^{\pm 1}
\end{aligned}$$

Now note that the Ω_i's partition $G\backslash\{1,-1\}$. Thus, by Theorem 2.3 we can say that $\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{9} Orb(C_i)$ is a G-regular cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$. Now set $F_i = Orb_{S_i}(C_i)$ where

$$S_i = \begin{cases} K & \text{for } 1 \le i \le 4; \\ G & \text{for } 5 \le i \le 7; \\ L & \text{for } i = 8, 9. \end{cases}$$

By Remark 2.4, each F_i is a 2-factor of $K_{48} - I$ with $Stab_G(F_i) = S_i$, hence $Orb_G(F_i)$ has length 3 or 1 or 4 according to whether $1 \le i \le 4$ or $5 \le i \le 7$ or $i = 8, 9$, respectively.

The cycles of F_i are triangles or quadrangles according to whether or not $i \le 5$. Thus, recalling that $\mathcal{C}$ is a cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{9} Orb_G(F_i)$ is a G-regular 2-factorization of $K_{48} - I$ with 13 triangle-factors and 10 quadrangle-factors, namely a G-regular solution of HWP$(48; 3, 4; 13, 10)$.

3.5 An octahedral solution of HWP$(48; 3, 4; 15, 8)$

Consider the seven cycles of $Cycle(G)$ defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+k)\big) \\
C_2 &= \big(1,\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+j),\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j)\big) \\
C_3 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j-k),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j+k)\big) \\
C_4 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j+k),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j)\big) \\
C_5 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j-k),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-k)\big) \\
C_6 &= \big(1,\ -j,\ k,\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k)\big) \\
C_7 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-j),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j-k),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j+k)\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, every C_i has trivial stabilizer. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, one can check that $Orb(C_i)$ is a ℓ_i-cycle decomposition of $\mathrm{Cay}[G : \Omega_i]$ where ℓ_i is the length of C_i and where the Ω_i's are the symmetric subsets of G listed below.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_1 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-j+k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_2 &= \{-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+j), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j), \tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j-k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_3 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j-k), \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j+k), \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j-k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_4 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(1+i+j+k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_5 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j-k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-k), \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j+k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_6 &= \{-j, +i, \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k)\}^{\pm 1} \\
\Omega_7 &= \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i-j), -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i), +k, \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j+k)\}^{\pm 1}
\end{aligned}$$

Now note that the Ω_i's partition $G \setminus \{1,-1\}$. Thus, by Theorem 2.3 we can say that $\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{7} Orb(C_i)$ is a G-regular cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$. Set $F_i = Orb_{S_i}(C_i)$ where

$$S_i = \begin{cases} K & \text{for } 1 \le i \le 5; \\ L & \text{for } i = 6, 7. \end{cases}$$

By Remark 2.4, each F_i is a 2-factor of $K_{48}-I$ with $Stab_G(F_i)=S_i$, hence $Orb_G(F_i)$ has length 3 or 4 according to whether $1\le i\le 5$ or $i=6,7$, respectively. The cycles of F_i are triangles or quadrangles according to whether or not $i\le 5$. Thus, recalling that $\mathcal{C}$ is a cycle-decomposition of $K_{48}-I$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{7} Orb_G(F_i)$ is a G-regular 2-factorization of $K_{48}-I$ with 15 triangle-factors and 8 quadrangle-factors, namely a G-regular solution of HWP$(48;3,4;15,8)$.

3.6 An octahedral solution of HWP$(48;3,4;17,6)$

Consider the ten cycles of $Cycle(G)$ defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= \big(1,\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k),\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+k)\big)\\
C_2 &= \big(1,\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+j),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j+k)\big)\\
C_3 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1+i-j-k),\ -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j)\big)\\
C_4 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+j),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+k)\big)\\
C_5 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j-k),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-j)\big)\\
C_6 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i-j-k)\big)\\
C_7 &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j-k),\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i-j+k)\big)\\
C_8 &= \big(1,\ k,\ -1,\ -k\big)\\
C_9 &= \big(1,\ j,\ -1,\ -j\big)\\
C_{10} &= \big(1,\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i),\ \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-i),\tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j+k)\big)
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $Stab(C_i)=V(C_i)$ for $6\le i\le 9$ while all other C_i's have trivial stabilizer. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, one can check that $Orb(C_i)$ is a ℓ_i-cycle decomposition of $\mathrm{Cay}[G:\Omega_i]$ where ℓ_i is the length of C_i and where the Ω_i's are the symmetric subsets of G listed below.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_1 &= \{-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k),-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+k),\tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j-k)\}^{\pm 1}\\
\Omega_2 &= \{-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+j),\tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j+k),\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1+i)\}^{\pm 1}\\
\Omega_3 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(1+i-j-k),-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+j),\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j+k)\}^{\pm 1}\\
\Omega_4 &= \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+j),\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i+k),\tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j-k)\}^{\pm 1}\\
\Omega_5 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(1-i+j-k),\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-j),\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j-k)\}^{\pm 1}\\
\Omega_6 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(-1-i+j+k)\}^{\pm 1}\\
\Omega_7 &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(-1+i+j-k)\}^{\pm 1}\\
\Omega_8 &= \{k\}^{\pm 1}\\
\Omega_9 &= \{j\}^{\pm 1}\\
\Omega_{10} &= \{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i),i,\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-k),\tfrac{1}{2}(1-i-j+k)\}^{\pm 1}
\end{aligned}$$

Now note that the Ω_i's partition $G\setminus\{1,-1\}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2 we can say that $\mathcal{C}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{10} Orb(C_i)$ is a G-regular cycle-decomposition of $K_{48}-I$. Set $F_i=Orb_{S_i}(C_i)$

where

$$S_i = \begin{cases} K & \text{for } 1 \le i \le 5; \\ G & \text{for } 6 \le i \le 9; \\ L & \text{for } i = 10. \end{cases}$$

By Remark 2.4, each F_i is a 2-factor of K_{48} with $Stab_G(F_i) = S_i$, hence $Orb_G(F_i)$ has length 3 or 1 or 4 according to whether $1 \le i \le 5$ or $6 \le i \le 9$ or $i = 10$, respectively. The cycles of F_i are triangles or quadrangles according to whether or not $i \le 7$. Thus, recalling that $\mathcal{C}$ is a cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{10} Orb_G(F_i)$ is a G-regular 2-factorization of $K_{48} - I$ with 17 triangle-factors and 6 quadrangle-factors, namely a G-regular solution of HWP$(48; 3, 4; 17, 6)$.

4 Dicyclic solutions of two Hamilton-Waterloo problems

In this section G will denote the dicyclic group of order 24 which is usually denoted by Q_{24}. Thus G has the following presentation:

$$G = \langle a, b \,|\, a^{12} = 1,\ b^2 = a^6,\ b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$$

Note that the elements of G can be written in the form $a^i b^j$ with $0 \le i \le 11$ and $j = 0, 1$. The group G has a unique involution which is a^6 and we will need to consider the following subgroups of G:

- $H = \langle b \rangle = \{1, b, a^6, a^6 b\}$;
- $K = \langle a^2 \rangle = \{1, a^2, a^4, a^6, a^8, a^{10}\}$;
- $L = \langle a^2 b, a^3 \rangle = \{1, a^3, a^6, a^9, a^2 b, a^8 b, a^5 b, a^{11} b\}$.

4.1 A dicyclic solution of HWP(24; 3, 4; 7, 4)

Consider the four cycles of $Cycle(G)$ defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \left(1,\ a^3 b,\ a^5\right) \\ C_2 &= \left(1,\ a^{10},\ a^7 b\right) \\ C_3 &= \left(1,\ a^4,\ a^8\right) \\ C_4 &= \left(1,\ b,\ a^3 b,\ a\right) \end{aligned}$$

We note that the $Stab(C_3) = V(C_3)$ while all other C_i's have trivial stabilizer. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, one can check that $Orb(C_i)$ is a ℓ_i-cycle decomposition of $\mathrm{Cay}[G : \Omega_i]$ where ℓ_i is the length of C_i and where the Ω_i's are the symmetric subsets of G listed below.

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \{a^3 b, a^5, a^2 b\}^{\pm 1} \\ \Omega_2 &= \{a^2, ab, a^5 b\}^{\pm 1} \\ \Omega_3 &= \{a^4\}^{\pm 1} \\ \Omega_4 &= \{b, a^3, a^4 b, a\}^{\pm 1} \end{aligned}$$

Now note that the Ω_i's partition $G \setminus \{1, a^6\}$. Thus, by Theorem 2.3 we can say that $\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} Orb(C_i)$ is a G-regular cycle-decomposition of $K_{24} - I$. Now set $F_i = Orb_{S_i}(C_i)$

where

$$S_i = \begin{cases} L & \text{for } i = 1, 2; \\ G & \text{for } i = 3; \\ K & \text{for } i = 4. \end{cases}$$

By Remark 2.4, each F_i is a 2-factor of $K_{24} - I$ with $Stab_G(F_i) = S_i$, hence $Orb_G(F_i)$ has length 3 or 1 or 4 according to whether $i = 1, 2$ or $i = 3$ or $i = 4$, respectively.

The cycles of F_i are triangles or quadrangles according to whether or not $i \leq 3$. Thus, recalling that $\mathcal{C}$ is a cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} Orb_G(F_i)$ is a G-regular 2-factorization of $K_{24} - I$ with 7 triangle-factors and 4 quadrangle-factors, namely a G-regular solution of HWP$(24; 3, 4; 7, 4)$.

4.2 A dicyclic solution of HWP$(24; 3, 4; 9, 2)$

Consider the four cycles of $Cycle(G)$ defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= (1, b, a^6, a^6b) \\ C_2 &= (1, a^4b, a^6, a^{10}b) \\ C_3 &= (1, a^4, a^7b) \\ C_4 &= (1, a^3b, a^8b) \\ C_5 &= (a^4, a^7, a^5) \end{aligned}$$

We note that $Stab(C_i) = V(C_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ while all other C_i's have trivial stabilizer. By Lemma 2.2, one can check that $Orb(C_i)$ is a ℓ_i-cycle decomposition of $\mathrm{Cay}[G : \Omega_i]$ where ℓ_i is the length of C_i and where the Ω_i's are the symmetric subsets of G listed below.

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \{b\}^{\pm 1} \\ \Omega_2 &= \{a^4b\}^{\pm 1} \\ \Omega_3 &= \{a^4, ab, a^5b\}^{\pm 1} \\ \Omega_4 &= \{a^3b, a^2b, a^5\}^{\pm 1} \\ \Omega_5 &= \{a^1, a^2, a^3\}^{\pm 1} \end{aligned}$$

Also here the Ω_i's partition $G \setminus \{1, a^6\}$, hence $\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{5} Orb_G(C_i)$ is a G-regular cycle-decomposition of $K_{24} - I$ by Theorem 2.3. Now set:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= Orb_G(C_1), \quad F_2 = Orb_G(C_2), \\ F_3 &= Orb_L(C_3), \quad F_4 = Orb_H(C_4) \cup Orb_H(C_5). \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.4, each F_i is a 2-factor of $K_{24} - I$ and we have

$$Stab_G(F_1) = Stab_G(F_2) = G; \quad Stab_G(F_3) = L; \quad Stab_G(F_4) = H$$

so that the lengths of the G-orbits of $F_1, \ldots, F_4$ are 1, 1, 3 and 6, respectively. The cycles of F_i are triangles or quadrangles according to whether or not $i \geq 3$. Thus, recalling that $\mathcal{C}$ is a cycle-decomposition of $K_{48} - I$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{5} Orb_G(F_i)$ is a G-regular 2-factorization of $K_{24} - I$ with 9 triangle-factors and 2 quadrangle-factors, namely a G-regular solution of HWP$(24; 3, 4; 9, 2)$.

5 A special linear solution of HWP$(24; 3, 4; 5, 6)$

In this section G will denote the 2-dimensional special linear group over $\mathbb{Z}_3$, usually denoted by $SL_2(3)$, namely the group of 2×2 matrices with elements in $\mathbb{Z}_3$ and determinant one. The only involution of G is $2E$ where E is the identity matrix of G. The 2-Sylow subgroup Q of G, isomorphic to the group of quaternions, is the following:

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

We will also need to consider the subgroup H of G of order 6 generated by the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Hence we have:

$$H = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

The use of the special linear group G was crucial in [5] to get a Steiner triple system of any order $v = 144n + 25$ with an automorphism group acting sharply transitively an all but one point. Here G will be used to get a G-regular solution of the last Hamilton-Waterloo problem left open in [11].

Consider the six cycles of $Cycle(G)$ defined as follows.

$$C_1 = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$C_2 = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$C_3 = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$C_4 = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$C_5 = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$C_6 = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Here the stabilizer of C_i is trivial for $i = 1, 6$ while it coincides with $V(C_i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq 5$. By Lemma 2.2, one can check that $Orb(C_i)$ is a ℓ_i-cycle decomposition of $\mathrm{Cay}[G : \Omega_i]$ where ℓ_i is the length of C_i and where the Ω_i's are the symmetric subsets of G listed below.

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}^{\pm 1}$$

$$\Omega_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}^{\pm 1} \qquad \Omega_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}^{\pm 1}$$

$$\Omega_4 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}^{\pm 1} \qquad \Omega_5 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}^{\pm 1}$$

$$\Omega_6 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}^{\pm 1}$$

Once again we see that the Ω_i's partition $G \setminus \{E, 2E\}$, therefore $\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{6} Orb(C_i)$ is a G-regular cycle-decomposition of $K_{24} - I$. Now set $F_i = Orb_{S_i}(C_i)$ with

$$S_i = \begin{cases} Q & \text{for } i = 1; \\ G & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq 5; \\ H & \text{for } i = 6. \end{cases}$$

By Remark 2.4, each F_i is a 2-factor of $K_{24} - I$ and we have $Stab_G(F_i) = S_i$ so that the lengths of the G-orbits of $F_1, \ldots, F_6$ are 3, 1, 1, 1, 1 and 4, respectively.

The cycles of F_i have length 3 or 4 according to whether or not $i \leq 3$. Thus, recalling that $\mathcal{C}$ is a cycle-decomposition of $K_{24} - I$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{6} Orb_G(F_i)$ is a G-regular 2-factorization of $K_{24} - I$ with 5 triangle-factors and 6 quadrangle-factors, namely a G-regular solution of HWP$(24; 3, 4; 5, 6)$.

References

[1] B. Alspach, P. J. Schellenberg, D. R. Stinson and D. Wagner, The Oberwolfach problem and factors of uniform odd length cycles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **52** (1989), 20–43, doi:10.1016/0097-3165(89)90059-9.

[2] S. Bonvicini and M. Buratti, Sharply vertex transitive factorizations of Cayley graphs, in preparation.

[3] S. Bonvicini, M. Buratti, G. Rinaldi and T. Traetta, Some progress on the existence of 1-rotational Steiner triple systems, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **62** (2012), 63–78, doi:10.1007/s10623-011-9491-3.

[4] D. Bryant and V. Scharaschkin, Complete solutions to the Oberwolfach problem for an infinite set of orders, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **99** (2009), 904–918, doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2009.03.003.

[5] M. Buratti, 1-rotational Steiner triple systems over arbitrary groups, *J. Combin. Des.* **9** (2001), 215–226, doi:10.1002/jcd.1008.abs.

[6] M. Buratti, Rotational k-cycle systems of order $v < 3k$; another proof of the existence of odd cycle systems, *J. Combin. Des.* **11** (2003), 433–441, doi:10.1002/jcd.10061.

[7] M. Buratti, Cycle decompositions with a sharply vertex transitive automorphism group, *Le Matematiche* **59** (2004), 91–105 (2006), https://www.dmi.unict.it/ojs/index.php/lematematiche/article/view/164.

[8] M. Buratti and P. Danziger, A cyclic solution for an infinite class of Hamilton-Waterloo problems, *Graphs Combin.* **32** (2016), 521–531, doi:10.1007/s00373-015-1582-x.

[9] M. Buratti and G. Rinaldi, On sharply vertex transitive 2-factorizations of the complete graph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **111** (2005), 245–256, doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2004.11.014.

[10] A. Burgess, P. Danziger and T. Traetta, On the Hamilton-Waterloo problem with odd orders, *J. Combin. Des.* (2016), doi:10.1002/jcd.21552.

[11] P. Danziger, G. Quattrocchi and B. Stevens, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for cycle sizes 3 and 4, *J. Combin. Des.* **17** (2009), 342–352, doi:10.1002/jcd.20219.

[12] D. G. Hoffman and P. J. Schellenberg, The existence of C_k-factorizations of $K_{2n} - F$, *Discrete Math.* **97** (1991), 243–250, doi:10.1016/0012-365x(91)90440-d.

[13] F. Merola and T. Traetta, Infinitely many cyclic solutions to the Hamilton-Waterloo problem with odd length cycles, *Discrete Math.* **339** (2016), 2267–2283, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2016.03.026.

[14] U. Odabaşı and S. Özkan, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem with C_4 and C_m factors, *Discrete Math.* **339** (2016), 263–269, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2015.08.013.

[15] T. Traetta, A complete solution to the two-table Oberwolfach problems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **120** (2013), 984–997, doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2013.01.003.

[16] L. Wang, F. Chen and H. Cao, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for C_3-factors and C_n-factors, 2016, arXiv:1609.00453 [math.CO].