

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Investigation of echinoderid kinorhynchs described 90 years ago: redescription of *Echinoderes capitatus* (Zelinka, 1928) and *Echinoderes ferrugineus* Zelinka, 1928 / Yamasaki, H; Dal Zotto, M. - In: ZOOLOGISCHER ANZEIGER. - ISSN 0044-5231. - 282:(2019), pp. 189-205. [10.1016/j.jcz.2019.05.013]

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

06/05/2026 16:53

(Article begins on next page)

Investigation of echinoderid kinorhynchs described 90 years ago: redescription of *Echinoderes capitatus* (Zelinka, 1928) and *Echinoderes ferrugineus* Zelinka, 1928

Yamasaki, Hiroshi

Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity

Dal Zotto, Matteo

Department of Life Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/4774176>

出版情報 : Zoologischer Anzeiger. 282, pp.189-205, 2019-09. Elsevier

バージョン :

権利関係 :

1
2
3 **Investigation of echinoderid kinorhynchs described 90 years ago: redescription of**

4
5
6 ***Echinoderes capitatus* (Zelinka, 1928) and *Echinoderes ferrugineus* Zelinka, 1928**

7
8
9 Hiroshi Yamasaki^{1, 2*}, Matteo Dal Zotto^{3, 4}

10
11
12 1: Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity, Invalidenstr. 43,

13
14
15 D-10115 Berlin, Germany

16
17
18 2: Senckenberg am Meer, Abt. Deutsches Zentrum für Marine Biodiversitätsforschung DZMB,

19
20
21 Südstrand 44, D-26382 Wilhelmshaven, Germany

22
23
24 3: Department of Life Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, via Campi 213/d,

25
26
27 I-41125 Modena, Italy

28
29
30 4: Consortium for the Interuniversity Center of Marine Biology and Applied Ecology, viale N.

31
32
33 Sauro 4, I-57128 Livorno, Italy

34
35
36 * Corresponding author

37
38
39 E-mail: h.yamasaki@meiobenthos.com

40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49 **Abstract**

50
51
52 The two kinorhynch species *Echinoderes capitatus* (Zelinka, 1928) and *Echinoderes*

53
54
55 *ferrugineus* Zelinka, 1928 are redescribed herein, based on specimens collected at different

56
57
58 Mediterranean locations. *Echinoderes capitatus* is characterized by the presence of middorsal

1 acicular spine on segment 4 and lateroventral acicular spines on segments 6–9; at least three
2
3
4 pairs of tubes on segment 2 (subdorsal, midlateral, and ventrolateral); subdorsal tubes on
5
6
7 segment 8; laterodorsal tubes on segment 10; lateral accessory tubes on segments 5 and 8;
8
9
10 subdorsal sensory spots on segments 1 and 3–11; and ventromedial sensory spots on segments
11
12
13 2 and 5–7, often additionally on 8; occurrence of subdorsal tubes on segments 6 and 7,
14
15
16 laterodorsal tubes on segment 2, midlateral tubes on segments 7 and 8, and ventromedial
17
18
19 tubes on segment 8 showing intraspecific variation; and the absence of the type-2 gland cell
20
21
22 outlets. The pattern of the additional tubes is relatively well-preserved within a population but
23
24
25 differs among populations. *Echinoderes ferrugineus* is characterized by the presence of
26
27
28 middorsal acicular spines on segment 4–8; lateroventral acicular spines on segments 6–9;
29
30
31 lateral accessory tubes on segment 5; type-2 gland cell outlets in subdorsal, laterodorsal,
32
33
34 sublateral, and ventrolateral position on segment 2, subdorsal and midlateral position on
35
36
37 segment 4, midlateral position on segment 5, and midlateral position on segment 8; long
38
39
40 lateral terminal spines (ca. 140–180 μm , 45–63% of trunk length). Comments are provided on
41
42
43
44 the intraspecific variation in tube pattern in *Echinoderes capitatus*, and its potential
45
46
47
48 importance in a speciation and evolutionary context.
49
50
51
52
53

54 **Keywords**

55
56
57 meiofauna; Mediterranean Sea; morphological variation; polymorphism; Kinorhyncha; mud
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 dragons
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

9 **1. Introduction**

10
11
12 Mud dragons are exclusively meiobenthic animals, composing the phylum
13
14 Kinorhyncha. To date, more than 270 species have been described from various environments.
15
16 They are most commonly found in marine, muddy sediments, but may also occur in various
17
18 other substrates and habitats, such as sandy beaches, coarse sand bottoms, brackish waters,
19
20 continental shelves, deep-sea floors, sea mounts, submarine caves. They have been reported
21
22 from all parts of the world and the discovery of new species has increased significantly in the
23
24 recent years (e.g., Sørensen and Pardos, 2008; Neuhaus, 2013; Dal Zotto, 2015; Yamasaki,
25
26 2016; Yıldız et al., 2017; Adrianov and Maiorova, 2018; Grzelak and Sørensen, 2018;
27
28 Herranz et al., 2018; Landers et al., 2018; Sánchez et al., 2018; Sørensen et al., 2018;
29
30 Yamasaki et al., 2018a, b). Dr Carl Zelinka (1859–1946) published the first monograph on
31
32 Kinorhyncha describing and naming 56 new species (Zelinka, 1928). Although many of them
33
34 subsequently were synonymized or regarded as *nomina dubia* in later studies, twelve species
35
36 in the monograph are still considered as valid species.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Both *Echinoderes capitatus* (Zelinka, 1928) and *Echinoderes ferrugineus* Zelinka,
55
56 1928 were originally described in Zelinka's monograph (Zelinka, 1928). He described the
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 species based on specimens collected from the Gulf of Trieste and from the Bay of Naples
2
3
4 (Fig. 1), however, the original material does not exist any longer (Neuhaus et al., 2013).
5
6
7 Subsequently, Remane (1954) reported *E. ferrugineus* from the Bay of Kiel, Germany, and
8
9
10 later Nebelsick (1992b) redescribed *E. capitatus* based on specimens from the Gulf of Trieste
11
12
13 and from off Rovinj, Istria, relatively close to the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic Sea). She
14
15
16 also investigated the fine structure of the species, e.g., sensory spots, introvert, mouth cone,
17
18
19 and nervous system, with using scanning and transmission electron microscopes (Nebelsick,
20
21
22 1992a, 1992b, 1993). More recently, the two species have been recorded at several localities
23
24
25 in Italian waters by Dal Zotto and Todaro (2016), Dal Zotto et al. (2016, this issue).
26
27

28
29 During a project of kinorhynchs on seamounts funded by the Deutsche
30
31
32 Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG (GE 1086/20-1, NE 931/6-1), specimens of *E. capitatus* and *E.*
33
34
35 *ferrugineus* from Italian waters were examined in order to compare and distinguish seamount
36
37
38 kinorhynchs. Consequently, several new taxonomic characters in both species as well as
39
40
41 intraspecific morphological variations in *E. capitatus* were discovered. This paper provides
42
43
44 these newly-found morphological characters, suggesting emended diagnoses for each of the
45
46
47 two species, and discusses about the intraspecific variations in *E. capitatus*.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

2. Material and methods

Apart from topotypic material kindly provided by Dr. Monika Bright (see below), the specimens analysed in the present study were collected during two different environmental monitoring projects, led in the Gulf of Castellammare, off the town of Castellammare del Golfo (Tyrrhenian Sea, Sicily, southern Italy), and off Chioggia (Adriatic Sea, Veneto, northern Italy), respectively. For detailed information on the former monitoring project see Dal Zotto et al. (2016). The sediment samples were collected with a hand-held piston corer (3.40 cm inner diameter x 5.00 cm height for the sample from the Gulf of Castellammare; 2.75 cm inner diameter x 5.00 cm height for the samples from off Chioggia). Further information on each sampling station is listed in Table 1. The fauna was narcotized using a 7% magnesium chloride solution and fixed on site with a 10% buffered formalin solution. In the laboratory, some samples were stained with Rose Bengal for subsequent sorting (Todaro et al., 2006). Each sample was filtered using two sieves, 1 and 0.045 mm, respectively. The 45 μ m fraction was kept for study, and meiofauna was extracted three times using silica gel gradient centrifugation (LUDOX[®] AM, density: 1.210; Pfannkuche and Thiel 1988; Todaro et al., 2001). Kinorhynchs were isolated under stereomicroscopes WILD M8 and stored in 5% buffered formalin. Before the following observations, all specimens were transferred from formalin to 75% EtOH.

Specimens for light microscopy (LM) were dehydrated in glycerol and mounted as glycerol-paraffin slides on Cobb aluminum frames and observed with a Zeiss Axioskop 50

1 microscope. A camera lucida equipped with the microscope was used to make drafts for line
2
3
4 art illustrations. Final line art illustrations were drawn with Adobe Illustrator CS6 based on
5
6
7 the drafts. Measurements were made through a camera lucida. Specimens were photographed
8
9
10 with a Zeiss AxioCam MRc5.
11

12
13 Specimens for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were transferred from ethanol to
14
15
16 distilled water through a graded series of ethanol, postfixed with OsO₄ in 0.05 M phosphate
17
18
19 buffer (pH = 7.3) with 0.3 M sodium chloride and 0.05% sodium azide for 2.5 hours,
20
21
22
23 dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol, critical-point dried with a BalTec CPD 030,
24
25
26 mounted on aluminum stubs, sputter-coated with gold-palladium with a Polaron SC 7640, and
27
28
29 observed with a Zeiss EVO LS 10 scanning electron microscope.
30

31
32 The additional topotypic specimens stored in the Museum für Naturkunde Berlin (=
33
34
35 ZMB, former Zoological Museum Berlin), Germany, which were originally collected by Dr
36
37
38 Monika Bright (University of Vienna) and donated to Dr Birger Neuhaus (ZMB) were also
39
40
41 observed. The specimens were collected on 12 March 1987 off Trieste, northern Adriatic Sea,
42
43
44 and mounted in an unknown mounting media either on Cobb aluminum frame or on glass
45
46
47 slides. Further information on the sampling locality, e.g., coordinates, depth, and sediment
48
49
50 type, could not be obtained.
51
52

53
54 The terminology follows Neuhaus and Higgins (2002), Sørensen and Pardos (2008)
55
56
57 and Neuhaus (2013). The classification system follows Sørensen et al. (2015). All specimens
58
59
60

1 have been deposited in ZMB and catalogued in the collection “Vermes” in the
2
3
4 “Generalkatalog Freilebende Würmer”.
5
6
7
8
9

10 11 12 **3. Results and discussion** 13

14
15 Class Cyclorhagida Zelinka, 1896
16

17
18 Order Echinorhagata Sørensen et al., 2015
19

20
21 Family Echinoderidae Zelinka, 1894
22

23
24 Genus *Echinoderes* Claparède, 1863
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **3.1. *Echinoderes capitatus* (Zelinka, 1928) (Figs 2–5 and Tables 2 and 3)** 33 34 35

36 **3.1.1. Synonymy** 37 38

39 *Echinoderella capitata*: Zelinka (1912) [nomen nudum], Zelinka (1928); *Echinoderes*
40
41
42 *capitata*: Karling (1954); *Echinoderes capitatus*: Higgins (1983), Nebelsick (1992a, 1992b,
43
44
45 1993), Dal Zotto and Todaro (2016), Dal Zotto et al. (2016); *Habroderella capitata*: Zelinka
46
47 (1928); *Habroderella trispinosa*: Remane (1936); *Hapaloderes minimus*: Nyholm (1947)
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 **3.1.2. Emended diagnosis** 57 58

59 *Echinoderes* with middorsal acicular spines on segment 4 and lateroventral acicular
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 spines on segments 6–9; at least three pairs of tubes on segment 2 (subdorsal, midlateral, and
2
3
4 ventrolateral position); subdorsal tubes on segment 8; laterodorsal tubes on segment 10;
5
6
7 lateral accessory tubes on segments 5 and 8; additional tubes present not always but in some
8
9
10 specimens: subdorsally on segments 6 and 7, laterodorsally on segment 2, midlaterally on
11
12
13 segments 7 and 8, and/or ventromedially on segment 8; subdorsal sensory spots on segments
14
15
16 1 and 3–11; ventromedial sensory spots on segments 2 and 5–7, often additionally on 8;
17
18
19 without type-2 gland cell outlets and lateral terminal accessory spines in both sexes.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **3.1.3. Material examined**

28
29
30 Non-type: one female (ZMB XXXXXX), collected from off Trieste, northern
31
32
33 Adriatic Sea (coordinates unknown), mounted in unknown mounting medium on a Cobb
34
35
36 aluminum frame; one male and one female (ZMB XXXXXX), collecting data as above
37
38
39 mentioned specimen, mounted in unknown mounting medium on glass slide; seven males and
40
41
42 three females (ZMB XXXXXX), collected from off Chioggia, northern Adriatic Sea, mounted
43
44
45 as glycerol-paraffin slide on a Cobb aluminum frame; three males and one female (ZMB
46
47
48 XXXXXXX), collected from off Castellammare del Golfo, Sicily, southern Tyrrhenian Sea,
49
50
51 mounted as glycerol-paraffin slide on a Cobb aluminum frame; three males and four females
52
53
54 collected from off Castellammare del Golfo, Sicily, southern Tyrrhenian Sea, on an aluminum
55
56
57 stub for SEM observation.
58
59
60

3.1.4. Description

This chapter describes the typical morphology of *Echinoderes capitatus*, as observed in specimens from Trieste. See “3.1.7. Variation” for polymorphic characters in specimens from other localities.

Adult with head, neck, and eleven trunk segments (Figs 2A, B, 3A, and 4A). See Table 2 for measurements. Table 3 indicates positions of cuticular structures (sensory spots, gland cell outlets, spines, tubes, and sieve plates).

Head consisting of retractable mouth cone and introvert (Figs 3A–C and 5). Mouth cone with inner and nine outer oral styles (Figs 3B and 5). Detailed arrangement of inner oral style not observed. Each outer oral style consisting of rectangular basal part and triangular distal part, with basal part alternating in length between five larger basal parts in odd sectors and four smaller ones in even sectors (Figs 3B and 5). Basal part covered with six long spinose processes bifurcated at their tips (Fig. 3B). One pair of short spinose processes originating slightly more anteriorly and laterally on either side of each basal part (Fig. 3B).

Introvert composed of one ring of primary scalids, five rings of spinoscalids, and one ring of trichoscalids (Figs 3C and 5). Arrangement of spinoscalids in each ring summarized in Fig. 5. Each primary spinoscalid consisting of basal sheath and distal end piece (Fig. 3C). Basal sheath comprises two layers of proximal fringes. End piece long with smooth surface, bluntly

1 ending at distal tip. Each spinoscalid of rings 02–05 composed of basal sheath with fringed
2
3
4 edge and distal long-spinose end piece (Fig. 3C). Spinoscalids in rings 02 and 03 longer than
5
6
7 those in rings 04–06. Trichoscalids arising from trichoscalid plates. Each trichoscalid covered
8
9
10 with long hairs.

11
12
13 Neck with 16 placids (Figs 2A, B, 4B, C, and 5). Midventral placid broadest.

14
15
16 Remaining placids similar in size. Two trichoscalid plates present ventrally and four dorsally,
17
18
19 each associated with ventromedial, subdorsal, and laterodorsal placid, respectively (Figs 2A,
20
21
22 B, 4B, and C).

23
24
25 Segment 1 consisting of complete cuticular ring (Figs 2A, B, 4A–C). Sensory spots
26
27
28 present in subdorsal, laterodorsal, and ventromedial position (Figs 2A, B, 3D, E, 4B, and C).
29
30
31 Sensory spots on this and following segments oval, composed of single pore surrounded by
32
33
34 numerous micropapillae (Fig. 3D–M). Type-1 gland cell outlets situated in middorsal and
35
36
37 lateroventral position (Fig. 4B and C). Non-bracteate cuticular hairs arising from perforation
38
39
40 sites covering whole segment except for anterior quarter of ventral area (Figs 2A, B, 3D, and
41
42
43 E). Posterior part of this and following nine segments with primary pectinate fringe (Figs 2A,
44
45
46 B, 3D, and E). Pectinate fringe teeth of primary pectinate fringe short and thin (Fig. 3D–F).
47
48
49

50
51 Segment 2 with complete cuticular ring as segment 1 (Figs 2A, B, 4B, and C). This
52
53
54 and following eight segments with very thick pachycyclus at anterior margin of each segment.
55
56
57 Tubes present in subdorsal, laterodorsal, midlateral, and ventrolateral position (Figs 2A, B,
58
59
60

1 3D–F, and 4B, and C). Sensory spots in middorsal and ventromedial position (Figs 2A, B, 3E,
2
3
4 F, 4B, and C). Type-1 gland cell outlets located in middorsal and ventromedial position (Figs
5
6
7 2A, B, 4B, and C). Bracteate cuticular hairs arising from perforation sites cover whole
8
9
10 segments. One row of secondary pectinate fringe present on anterior part of this and following
11
12
13 eight segments, often covered by preceding segment (Fig. 3F).
14
15

16 Segment 3 and following eight segments consisting of one tergal and two sternal
17
18 plates (Fig. 2A, B). Sensory spots present in subdorsal and midlateral position (Figs 2A, B,
19
20 3D, F, G, and 4B). Type-1 gland cell outlets situated in middorsal and ventromedial position
21
22 (Figs 2A, B, 4B, and C). Bracteate cuticular hairs arising from perforation sites on this and
23
24 following seven segments covers whole segment except for ventromedial area (Figs 2A, B,
25
26 and 3D–M). Ventromedial area covered with minute cuticular hairs without perforation sites
27
28
29 (Fig. 3E).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Segment 4 with middorsal acicular spine (Figs 2A, and 3G). Sensory spots present in
40
41 subdorsal position (Figs 2A, and 3G). Type-1 gland cell outlets in paradorsal and
42
43 ventromedial position (Figs 2A, B, and 4D).
44
45
46
47

48 Segment 5 with lateral accessory tubes (Figs 2B, 3H, I, and 4D). Sensory spots in
49
50 subdorsal, midlateral, and ventromedial position (Figs 2A, B, 3H, I, and 4D). Type-1 gland
51
52 cell outlets present in middorsal and ventromedial position (Figs 2A, B, and 4D).
53
54
55
56
57

58 Segment 6 with lateroventral acicular spines and subdorsal tubes (Figs 2A, B, 3H, I,
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 and 4D). Sensory spots present in paradorsal, subdorsal, midlateral, and ventromedial position
2
3
4 (Figs 2A, B, 3H–J, and 4D). Type-1 gland cell outlets present paradorsally and ventromedially
5
6
7 (Figs 2A, B, and 4D).
8
9

10 Segment 7 with lateroventral acicular spines (Figs 2A, B, 3H, K, and 4E). Sensory
11
12 spots present in subdorsal, midlateral, and ventromedial position (Figs 2A, B, 3H, J, and 4E).
13
14 Type-1 gland cell outlets present middorsally and ventromedially (Fig. 2A and B).
15
16
17
18

19 Segment 8 with acicular spines in lateroventral position and tubes in subdorsal,
20
21 midlateral, lateral accessory, and ventromedial position (Figs 2A, B, 3J, K, 4E, and F).
22
23
24 Sensory spots present paradorsally and subdorsally (Figs 2A, 4H, J, K, and 4F). Type-1 gland
25
26 cell outlets present in paradorsal and ventromedial position (Figs 2A, B, and 4E).
27
28
29
30

31 Segment 9 with lateroventral acicular spines (Figs 2B, D, 3K, and 4G). Paradorsal,
32
33 subdorsal, midlateral and ventrolateral sensory spots present (Figs 2, 3K, L, 4F, and G).
34
35
36 Type-1 gland cell outlets present in paradorsal and ventromedial position (Figs 2 and 4G).
37
38
39 Small rounded sieve plates present in sublateral position (Fig. 2B–D).
40
41
42
43
44

45 Segment 10 with short laterodorsal tubes (Figs 2A, C, and 3M). Tube length slightly
46
47 longer in males than females. Subdorsal and ventrolateral sensory spots present (Figs 2, 3L,
48
49 M, and 4G). Two type-1 gland cell outlets aligned middorsally (Fig. 2A and C). Additional
50
51 pair of type-1 gland cell outlets present in ventromedial position (Figs 2B, D, 4G).
52
53
54
55
56

57 Segment 11 with lateral terminal spines (Figs 2, 3A, L, M, and 4G). Three pairs of
58
59
60

1 penile spines present in males, with two pairs being long and tube-like and one pair thick and
2
3
4 relatively short (Figs 2C, D, and 3L). Lateral terminal accessory spines absent in both sexes.
5
6
7 Subdorsal sensory spots present (Fig. 2A and C). Type-1 gland cell outlets present
8
9
10 middorsally (Fig. 2A and C). Tergal extensions triangular and sternal extensions rounded (Fig.
11
12
13 2).

20 **3.1.5. Intraspecific variation**

21
22
23
24 Among specimens from off Trieste, one specimen lacks ventrolateral tube on right
25
26
27 side on segment 2 and has ventrolateral sensory spot on right side on segment 9 being slightly
28
29
30 relocated to anterior and median line (Figs 2B, 4C, and G).
31
32

33
34 Compared to specimens from Trieste, those from Chioggia differ in absence of
35
36
37 subdorsal tubes on segment 6 and midlateral and ventromedial tubes on segment 8, and in
38
39
40 presence of ventromedial sensory spots on segment 8. One out of ten specimens from
41
42
43 Chioggia shows midlateral tubes instead of sensory spots on segment 7.
44
45

46
47 Specimens from off Castellammare (Sicily) differ from those from Trieste in absence
48
49
50 of laterodorsal tubes on segment 2, subdorsal tubes on segment 6, midlateral sensory spots on
51
52
53 segment 7, and midlateral and ventromedial tubes on segment 8, and in presence of
54
55
56 laterodorsal sensory spots on segment 2, midlateral tubes on segment 7, and ventromedial
57
58
59 sensory spots on segment 8 (Fig. 3D, and I–K). Only one out of eleven specimens from
60

1 Castellammare shows midlateral sensory spots instead of tubes on segment 7.
2
3
4
5
6
7

8 **3.1.6 Discussion: *E. capitatus*** 9

10 **3.1.6.1. Comparison with the previous descriptions**

11 *Echinoderes capitatus* was originally described based on the specimens from the
12 Gulf of Trieste and the Bay of Naples by Zelinka in his monograph in 1928 (Zelinka, 1928).
13
14 In the monograph, he described the species as having a body with segments 1 and 2
15
16 broadened and not tapered as in most *Echinoderes* as well as lacking any spine on its body
17
18 (Zelinka, 1928). However, the latter feature might have been a misinterpretation of the author,
19
20 likely deriving from the observation with a microscope of that time and the spines being
21
22 relatively short and hidden by thick pachycycli. Subsequently, Nebelsick (1992b) redescribed
23
24 the species based on the specimens from Gulf of Trieste (one of the type localities) and from
25
26 off Rovinj, Istria (relatively close to the Gulf of Trieste), and reported additional characters
27
28 including spines, tubes, sensory spots and gland cell outlets. The redescription was based on
29
30 detailed observations, and was documented with high-quality photographs and illustrations.
31
32 Hence, the information in Nebelsick (1992b) has been used for the identification of the
33
34 species, and to distinguish it from congeners. Nebelsick (1992b) also stated that “The number,
35
36 pattern of distribution, cellular arrangement, and fine structure of all cuticular structures of the
37
38 trunk region...are species constant character-states in *Echinoderes capitatus*”.

1 Most characters of the specimens in the present study appeared in line with the
2
3
4 description by Nebelsick (1992b) (Table 3). However, when the characters of each specimen
5
6
7 were thoroughly examined, it appeared that the morphology was mostly congruent within a
8
9
10 population, whereas slightly different among populations, and none of the newly-observed
11
12
13 specimens were identical to the description in Nebelsick (1992b), inclusive those from the
14
15
16 Gulf of Trieste. The differences among populations and the description in Nebelsick (1992b)
17
18
19 are related to the position and presence/absence of tubes, sensory spots, and type-1 gland cell
20
21
22 outlets (Table 3). Of these, the additional middorsal type-1 gland cell outlets on segments 4,
23
24
25 10, and 11 might have been overlooked or eventually irregularly present/absent in the
26
27
28 specimens examined by Nebelsick (1992b); in fact, these gland cell outlets are often
29
30
31 overlapped by the preceding segment and not easily observed or distinguished. The
32
33
34 occurrence of tubes and sensory spots among populations is undoubtedly inconsistent, even
35
36
37 between populations which are geographically very close to each other (e.g., those of
38
39
40 Chioggia and Trieste, both in the northern Adriatic Sea). Although none of the
41
42
43 newly-observed specimens are completely identical to the redescription in Nebelsick (1992b)
44
45
46 and the specimens observed in Nebelsick (1992b) are not available for re-examination, we
47
48
49 suppose specimens of the population in a part of the Gulf of Trieste and Rovinj show the
50
51
52 morphological pattern described in Nebelsick (1992b).
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3.1.6.2. Intraspecific variation or different species?

1
2 Notwithstanding the specimens with different pattern in tubes and sensory spots
3
4
5 could represent different species, we regard such differences as intraspecific variations and
6
7
8 put all specimens in a same species in this study. As mentioned in the previous chapter, the
9
10 differences concerning tubes and sensory spots seem to characterize even
11
12 geographically-neighboring populations, such as those from Trieste and Chioggia, and those
13
14
15 collected in the Gulf of Trieste at different times. In addition, a low degree of variation was
16
17
18 detected even within the same population (e.g., populations of Chioggia and of
19
20
21 Castellammare del Golfo). Due to the complexity of the situation – inclusive the differences
22
23
24 between the specimens from Trieste examined by Nebelsick (1992b) and those analyzed in
25
26
27 the present study – and the availability only of morphological data so far, it is impossible to
28
29
30 provide a clear threshold between species, except for either regarding all types of different
31
32
33 tube/sensory spot patterns as characterizing different species (= 6 species in total, some of
34
35
36 which very similar and sympatric) or considering all of them as the same species showing
37
38
39 variation. Further analyses, inclusive of populations genetics, will hopefully shed light on the
40
41
42 existence of a single species or of a potential *E. capitatus* species group. Until these
43
44
45 investigations, we find premature to split the differing specimens and populations into just as
46
47
48 many species. The risk of splitting the species at this stage would be an eventual necessity to
49
50
51 reunify at least some new taxa in the future. Thus, in this study we regard all morphotypes as
52
53
54 a polymorphism in *E. capitatus*.
55
56
57
58
59
60

3.1.6.3. Comparison with congeners

Although new morphological information as well as the inconsistent occurrence of some characters were detected, *E. capitatus* still possesses a unique spine/tube pattern among species of *Echinoderes*. The presence of acicular spines only middorsally on segment 4 and lateroventrally on segments 6–9 allow discrimination of the species from congeners, except for three species, *Echinoderes charlotteae* Sørensen et al., 2016 found in the Gulf of Mexico (Sørensen et al., 2016), *Echinoderes isabelae* G^aOrdóñez et al., 2008 reported from various Atlantic and Mediterranean Spanish locations (see Sánchez et al., 2012), and *Echinoderes reicherti* Neves et al., 2016, described from the southern coast of Portugal (Neves et al., 2016). Among these species, *E. charlotteae* and *E. reicherti* are easily distinguished from *E. capitatus* by the presence/absence of the type-2 gland cell outlets. *E. charlotteae* and *E. reicherti* have type-2 gland cell outlets on segment 2, whereas *E. capitatus* completely lacks these characters (Neves et al., 2016; Sørensen et al., 2016). The presence of numerous tubes in *E. capitatus* also helps to discriminate it from these two species. The fusiform-shaped trunk of *E. charlotteae* and *E. reicherti* is different from the trunk shape of *E. capitatus* appearing as a stick-like and anteriorly-plump body (Neves et al., 2016; Sørensen et al., 2016).

Echinoderes capitatus shows closest resemblance with *E. isabelae* in the spine and tube pattern. The two species share the presence of more than three pairs of tubes on segment

1 2, lateral accessory/lateroventral tubes on segment 5, subdorsal and lateral accessory tubes on
2
3
4 segment 8 (G^aOrdóñez et al., 2008). They are also similar in the presence of large, oval
5
6
7 sensory spots, and the absence of lateral terminal accessory spines, which are otherwise
8
9
10 usually present in females of most echinoderid species (G^aOrdóñez et al., 2008). In addition,
11
12
13 both species have a trunk with almost uniform sternal width on segments 1–10, appearing as a
14
15
16 stick-like or an anteriorly-plump body (G^aOrdóñez et al., 2008; see also Figs 2, 3A, 4A, and
17
18
19 Table 2). G^aOrdóñez et al. (2008) considered the presence of subdorsal tubes on segment 6
20
21
22 and 7 and ventromedial tubes on segment 8 in *E. capitatus* as differential characters to
23
24
25 discriminate it from *E. isabelae*. Due to their inconsistent occurrence in *E. capitatus* we
26
27
28 highlight that these features may be ambiguous for distinguishing the two species. Based on
29
30
31 our investigation, the two species can still be distinguished by the following characters: the
32
33
34 laterodorsal tubes on segment 10 (present in *E. capitatus*, absent in *E. isabelae*) and sensory
35
36
37 spots positions. Especially for the sensory spots, those in the subdorsal positions (present on
38
39
40 segments 1 and 3–11 in *E. capitatus* whereas limited only to segments 3–6 and 9 in *E.*
41
42
43 *isabelae*) and those in the ventromedial positions (present on segments 2 and 5–7 or 8 in *E.*
44
45
46 *capitatus* whereas present on segments 3–9 in *E. isabelae*) are the easiest characters to be
47
48
49 detected and used to distinguish the two species (G^aOrdóñez et al., 2008; Table 3).
50
51
52

53
54 Based on the affinities between *E. capitatus* and *E. isabelae*, as well as the
55
56
57 inconsistency of the tube pattern in *E. capitatus*, and also considered the presence of *E.*
58
59
60

1 *isabelae* in the Mediterranean Sea (off Gerona; Sánchez et al., 2012), we can't exclude the
2
3
4 existence of a single species, including specimens currently recognized as "*E. capitatus*" and
5
6
7 "*E. isabelae*", with a high degree of variation. In this case, the above mentioned differences
8
9
10 would be regarded as intraspecific variations. However, we do not have enough elements to
11
12
13 support this hypothesis at the present stage and prefer not to speculate further, fostering the
14
15
16 continuation of the analyses to this respect.
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **3.1.6.4. Intraspecific variation in the occurrence of spines and tubes**

24
25 The position and patterns of spines and tubes in adult kinorhynchs is usually well
26
27
28 preserved and consistent within a species, therefore traditionally used for species
29
30
31 discrimination. Main exceptions are the sexual dimorphic spines/tubes, i.e., lateral terminal
32
33
34 accessory spines and penile spines, as well as the different length of laterodorsal tubes on
35
36
37 segment 10 in Echinoderidae (e.g., Neuhaus and Blasche, 2006; Herranz et al., 2012; Grzelak
38
39
40 and Sørensen, 2018; Sørensen et al., 2018; Yamasaki et al., 2018a, b); middorsal and
41
42
43 subdorsal/laterodorsal spines on segment 10 in kentrorhagid and campyloderid species (e.g.,
44
45
46 Neuhaus and Sørensen, 2013; Herranz et al., 2014; Yamasaki, 2016; Neuhaus, 2017; Sørensen
47
48
49 and Landers, 2018); and ventromedial tubes on segment 2 and penile spines in pycnophyid
50
51
52 species (e.g., Sánchez et al., 2016, 2018; Sørensen and Grzelak, 2018). More recently,
53
54
55
56
57 intraspecific variation of middorsal and midlateral spines on segment 10 was documented also
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 between different adult stages of *Centroderes* and *Condyloderes* (Neuhaus et al., 2014;
2
3
4 Sørensen et al., this issue).

5
6
7 However, variation in spine/tube pattern not related to the sexual and/or
8
9
10 developmental dimorphism was observed in species of *Campyloderes*, *Centroderes*, and
11
12
13 *Condyloderes* (Neuhaus and Sørensen, 2013; Neuhaus et al., 2013, 2014, 2019; Dal Zotto et
14
15
16 al., this issue). The major variation occurring in species of *Condyloderes* regards cuspidate
17
18
19 spines (Neuhaus et al., 2019; Dal Zotto et al., this issue), which are hypothetical homologous
20
21
22 to the tubules in *Franciscideres kalenesos* Dal Zotto et al., 2013 (e. g., Dal Zotto et al., 2013)
23
24
25 and possibly to the tubes in species of Echinoderidae, too.

26
27
28
29 Other variation not deriving from sexual and/or developmental dimorphism was also
30
31
32 recently reported from some echinoderid species: *Echinoderes dubiosus* Sørensen et al., 2018
33
34
35 with the tubes on segment 8 switching between midlateral and lateral accessory positions;
36
37
38 *Echinoderes daenerysae* Grzelak and Sørensen, 2017 in Grzelak and Sørensen (2018):
39
40
41 ventrolateral tubes on segment 2 present/absent; *Echinoderes rhaegali* Grzelak and Sørensen,
42
43
44 2017 in Grzelak and Sørensen (2018): sublateral tubes on segment 2 present/absent;
45
46
47 *Echinoderes eximus* Higgins and Kristensen, 1988: sublateral tubes on segment 9
48
49
50 present/absent; *Echinoderes levanderi* Karling, 1954: subdorsal tubes on segment 2
51
52
53 present/absent; and *Fissuroderes higginsii* Neuhaus, 2006 in Neuhaus and Blasche (2006):
54
55
56 dorsal tubes on segment 2 switching between laterodorsal and subdorsal positions (Grzelak
57
58
59
60

1 and Sørensen, 2018; Sørensen, 2018; Sørensen et al., 2018). Although our knowledge about
2
3
4 intraspecific variation of spine/tube patterns has increased recently, the taxonomic studies on
5
6
7 different populations of a single species are rare (e. g., Yamasaki et al., 2018a), and the
8
9
10 relationship between such variation on species and population level is still unclear.

11
12
13 *Echinoderes capitatus* seems to be the first example of a species with a tube-pattern
14
15
16 showing a relatively high degree of intraspecific variation between populations and a few
17
18
19 variations within the same population. Considering the variation between geographically
20
21
22 close populations (e.g., Chioggia versus Trieste), and the limited number of populations
23
24
25 investigated so far, there may still be undiscovered populations with different tube-patterns.
26
27
28 Further investigations in gap areas in Tyrrhenian Sea, Adriatic Sea, and the adjacent seas are
29
30
31 required for a wider understanding of the intraspecific variation-patterns in *E. capitatus*.
32
33
34

35
36 If the existence of a single species with variation will be confirmed, it is likely that
37
38
39 the differences between populations of *E. capitatus* could be related to adaptations to the local
40
41
42 environments, and exhibit an ongoing speciation process. Alternatively, the presence or
43
44
45 absence of tubes could be poorly or not affected by selection pressure, allowing *E. capitatus*
46
47
48 to possess high variation in the tube pattern. Irrespective of these hypotheses, *E. capitatus* or,
49
50
51 eventually, *E. capitatus* species group, could be a good model for studying the mechanisms at
52
53
54 the basis of morphological diversity in meiofaunal organisms. However, we have currently no
55
56
57 idea of the factors affecting the number and position of tubes, of the function of different tube
58
59
60

1 patterns, and whether and/or to what extent populations with different tube-patterns are
2
3
4 genetically differentiated. Functional morphological and ecological studies aimed at detecting
5
6
7 the correlation between tube patterns and environmental factors, as well as population genetic
8
9
10 studies will help determine its potential influence on polymorphism.
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **3.2. *Echinoderes ferrugineus* Zelinka, 1928 (Figs 6–9 and Tables 4 and 5)**

20 21 **3.2.1. Synonymy**

22
23
24 *Echinoderes ferrugineus*: Zelinka (1928); Remane (1954); Dal Zotto and Todaro (2016); Dal
25
26
27 Zotto et al. (2016)
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 **3.2.2. Emended diagnosis**

36
37
38 *Echinoderes* with middorsal acicular spines on segments 4–8 and lateroventral
39
40
41 acicular spines on segments 6–9; lateral accessory tubes on segment 5; type-2 gland cell
42
43
44 outlets in subdorsal, laterodorsal, sublateral, and ventrolateral position on segment 2,
45
46
47 subdorsal and midlateral position on segment 4, midlateral position on segment 5, and
48
49
50 midlateral position on segment 8; lateral terminal spines elongated (ca. 140–180 μm , 45–63%
51
52
53
54 of trunk length).
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **3.2.3. Material examined**

2
3
4 Non-type: one female (ZMB XXXXXX), collected from off Trieste, northern
5
6
7 Adriatic Sea (coordinates unknown), mounted in unknown mounting medium on a Cobb
8
9
10 aluminum frame; two males and two females (ZMB XXXXXX), collecting data as above
11
12
13 mentioned specimen, mounted in unknown mounting medium on glass slide; two males and
14
15
16 three females (ZMB XXXXXX), collected from off Castellammare del Golfo, Sicily, southern
17
18
19 Tyrrhenian Sea, mounted as glycerol-paraffin slide on a Cobb aluminum frame; two males
20
21
22 and two females (ZMB XXXXXX), collected from off Chioggia, northern Adriatic Sea,
23
24
25 mounted as glycerol-paraffin slide on a Cobb aluminum frame; three males and one female
26
27
28 collected from off Castellammare del Golfo, Sicily, southern Tyrrhenian Sea and one female
29
30
31 from off Chioggia, northern Adriatic Sea on an aluminum stub for SEM observation.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **3.2.4. Description**

41
42
43 Adult with head, neck, and eleven trunk segments (Figs 6A, B, 7A, 8A, and B). See
44
45
46 Table 4 for measurements. Table 5 indicates positions of cuticular structures (sensory spots,
47
48
49 gland cell outlets, spines, tubes, and sieve plates).
50
51

52
53 Head consisting of retractable mouth cone and introvert (Figs 7B and 9). Mouth cone
54
55
56 with nine outer oral styles, each consisting of rectangular basal part and triangular distal part
57
58
59 (Fig. 7B). Basal part slightly alternating in length between five larger parts in odd sectors and
60

1 four smaller ones in even sectors (Figs 7B and 9). Inner oral styles and ornaments of outer
2
3 oral styles not observed. Introvert composed of one ring of primary scalids, five rings of
4
5 spinoscalids, and one ring of trichoscalids (Figs 7B and 9). Arrangement of spinoscalids in
6
7 each ring summarized in Fig. 9. Each primary spinoscalid consists of basal sheath and distal
8
9 end piece. Basal sheath comprises of layer of proximal fringes. End piece long with smooth
10
11 surface and spinose distal tip (Fig. 7B). Each spinoscalid of rings 02–05 composed of basal
12
13 sheath with fringed edge and distal long-spinose end piece (Fig. 7B). Spinoscalids in rings 02
14
15 and 03 longer than those in rings 04–06. Trichoscalids arising from trichoscalid plates (Fig.
16
17 7B). Each trichoscalid covered with relatively short hairs.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Neck with 16 placids (Figs 6A, B, 7B, and 9). Midventral placid broadest. Remaining
30
31 placids similar in size. Two trichoscalid plates present ventrally and four dorsally, each
32
33 associated with ventromedial, subdorsal, and laterodorsal placid, respectively (Fig. 6A and B).
34
35
36
37
38

39 Segment 1 consisting of complete cuticular ring (Fig. 6A and B). Sensory spots
40
41 located in subdorsal, laterodorsal, and ventromedial position: each sensory spot with short
42
43 micropapillae surrounding single pore with single cilium (Figs 6A, B, 7C, and 8C). Type-1
44
45 gland cell outlets present in middorsal and lateral accessory position (Figs 6A, B, and 8C).
46
47
48
49
50

51 Non-bracteate cuticular hairs arising from perforation sites cover whole segment except for
52
53 anterior half of ventral side (Figs 6A, B, and 7C). Posterior part of this and following ten
54
55 segments with primary pectinate fringe (Figs 6A, B, 7C, D, 8C, and D). Pectinate fringe teeth
56
57
58
59
60

1 of primary pectinate fringe longer in midlateral to midventral areas than those in middorsal to
2
3
4 laterodorsal areas (Figs 6A, B, and 7C).
5
6

7 Segment 2 with complete cuticular ring as segment 1 (Fig. 6A and B). This and
8
9
10 following eight segments with thick pachycyclus at anterior margin of each segment. Two
11
12
13 pairs of sensory spots present in laterodorsal position (Figs 6B, 7C, D, and 8D). Additional
14
15
16 sensory spots present in middorsal and ventromedial position (Figs 6A, B, and 7C). Sensory
17
18
19 spots of this and following eight segments with short micropapillae surrounding single pore
20
21
22 and single long micropapilla (Fig. 7D). Type-1 gland cell outlets present in middorsal and
23
24
25 ventromedial position (Fig. 6A and B). Type-2 gland cell outlets in subdorsal, laterodorsal,
26
27
28 sublateral, and ventrolateral position (Figs 6A, B, 7C, D, and 8A–D). Bracteate cuticular hairs
29
30
31 cover posterior three quarters of segment (Figs 6A, B, and 7C). Primary pectinate fringe as on
32
33
34 segment 1. Single row of secondary pectinate fringe on anterior part of this and following
35
36
37 eight segments often covered by preceding segment (Fig. 7C).
38
39
40
41

42 Segment 3 and following eight segments consisting of one tergal and two sternal
43
44
45 plates (Figs 6A, B, 8A, and B). Sensory spots present in subdorsal and midlateral position
46
47
48 (Figs 6A, B, 7C, 8C, D). Type-1 gland cell outlets situated in middorsal and ventromedial
49
50
51 position (Fig. 6A and B). Cuticular hairs of this and following seven segments as those on
52
53
54 segment 2, except for being absent in ventromedial area. Primary pectinate fringe of this and
55
56
57 following six segments with long pectinate fringe teeth.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Segment 4 with middorsal acicular spine (Figs 6B, 7C, 8B, D, and E). No sensory
2
3
4 spots present. Type-1 gland cell outlets present in paradorsal and ventromedial position (Fig.
5
6
7 6A and B). Type-2 gland cell outlets present subdorsally and midlaterally (Figs 6A, B, 7C, 8A,
8
9
10 and C–E).

11
12
13 Segment 5 with middorsal acicular spine and lateral accessory tubes (Figs 6A, B, 7E,
14
15
16 F, 8B, C, and E). Sensory spots present in subdorsal and ventromedial position (Figs 6A, B,
17
18
19 7C, E, and 8C–E). Type-1 gland cell outlets present in paradorsal and ventromedial position
20
21
22 (Fig. 6A and B). Type-2 gland cell outlets located in midlateral position (Figs 6A, B, 7C, and
23
24
25 F).

26
27
28
29 Segment 6 with middorsal and lateroventral acicular spines (Figs 6A, B, 7E–G, 8A,
30
31
32 B, E, and F). Sensory spots present in paradorsal, subdorsal, midlateral, and ventromedial
33
34
35 position (Figs 6A, B, 7E–G, 8B, E, and F). Type-1 gland cell outlets present paradorsally and
36
37
38 ventromedially (Figs 6A, B, and 8E).

39
40
41
42 Segment 7 with middorsal and lateroventral acicular spines (Figs 6A, B, 7E, G, H,
43
44
45 8A, B, E, and F). Sensory spots present in paradorsal, midlateral, and ventromedial position
46
47
48 (Figs 6A, B, 7E–H, 8B, E, and F). Type-1 gland cell outlets present paradorsally and
49
50
51 ventromedially (Figs 6A, B, and 8E).

52
53
54
55 Segment 8 with middorsal and lateroventral acicular spines (Figs 6A, B, 7H–J, 8A, B,
56
57
58 E, and F). Sensory spots present in paradorsal position (Figs 6B, 7I, and 8B, and E). Type-1
59
60

1 gland cell outlets present in paradorsal and ventromedial position (Figs 6A, B, and 8E).

2
3
4 Type-2 gland cell outlets present in midlateral position (Figs 6A, B, 7G, I, and J).

5
6
7 Segment 9 with lateroventral acicular spines (Figs 6A, 7H, 8A, F, and G). Paradorsal,
8
9
10 subdorsal, midlateral, and ventrolateral sensory spots present (Figs 6A, B, 7H–K, 8A, and F).

11
12
13 Type-1 gland cell outlets present in paradorsal and ventromedial position (Fig. 6A and B).

14
15
16 Small sieve plates present in sublateral position (Figs 6A, B, and 7J).

17
18
19 Segment 10 with subdorsal and ventrolateral sensory spots (Figs 6, 7K, and 8G). Two
20
21
22 type-1 gland cell outlets aligned middorsally (Fig. 6B and C). Additional pair of type-1 gland
23
24
25 cell outlets present in ventromedial position (Figs 6A, D, and 8H). Pectinate fringe teeth of
26
27
28 primary pectinate fringe shorter than those on preceding segments (Figs 6 and 7K).

29
30
31 Segment 11 with lateral terminal spines (Figs 6, 7A, K–M, 8A, G, and H). Lateral
32
33
34 terminal accessory spines present in female (Figs 6C, D, and 8H). Three pairs of penile spines
35
36
37 present in males, with two pairs being long, tube-like and one pair thick and cone-like (Figs
38
39
40
41 6A, B, 7K–M, 8A, and G). Paradorsal sensory spots present (Figs 6B, C, 7K, and L).

42
43
44
45 Posterior edge of tergal plate pointed and protruded subdorsally, forming tergal extensions.

46
47
48 Primary pectinate fringe present on areas without tergal extensions on tergal plate, and on
49
50
51 ventromedial area on sternal plate.

1 **3.2.5. Discussion: *E. ferrugineus***

2
3
4 **3.2.5.1. Comparison with the original description**

5
6 According to the original description, the species has a relatively small trunk
7
8
9 (210–220 μm); adhesive tubes on segment 2, lateral spines on segments 5 to 10 (with shortest
10
11 spines on segment 6); middorsal spines on segments 4–8, with the spines on segment 8
12
13 reaching segment 10; lateral terminal spines, and terminal accessory spines in females
14
15
16 (Zelinka, 1928). Of these characters, the adhesive tubes on segment 2 would not be tubes but
17
18 probably type-2 gland cell outlets because the structures were not illustrated as tube-like but
19
20 as circular markings in the original illustration. The lateral spines on segment 5 would be
21
22 tubes considering their thinness. Furthermore, the lateral spines on segment 10 would
23
24 probably be a misinterpretation of a pair of penile spines. The pigment rings, which were
25
26 probably type-1 gland cell outlets, were not mentioned in the main text but illustrated
27
28 middorsally on segments 1–3, 10, and possibly 11, paradorsally on segments 4–9, lateral
29
30 accessorially or lateroventrally on segment 1, ventrolaterally on segment 2, ventrolaterally or
31
32 ventromedially on segment 3, and ventromedially on segments 4–10 and possibly 11 (Zelinka,
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48 1928).

49
50
51 Even though the specimens in the present study showed some differences from the
52
53 original description, they are identified as *E. ferrugineus* based on the fact that one of the
54
55 investigated localities was relatively close to one of the type localities of the species (the Gulf
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 of Trieste); the presence of middorsal spines on segments 4–8; middorsal spine on segment 8
2
3
4 being the longest and reaching segment 10; lateral accessory tubes on segment 5; lateroventral
5
6
7 spines on segments 6–9; type-2 gland cell outlets in ventrolateral position on segment 2; and
8
9
10 type-1 gland cell outlets following the pattern of the pigment rings described by Zelinka
11
12
13 (1928). The morphometrics of most of spines and tubes overlap those of the original
14
15
16 description, with a few exceptions. Remarkable differences between the original description
17
18
19 and the specimens examined during our study are: the length of the trunk (210–220 μm in the
20
21
22 original description compared to 260–324 μm in this study), the length of the middorsal
23
24
25 spines on segments 6 and 7 (25 μm and 27 μm , respectively, in the original one, versus 40–49
26
27
28 μm and 45–56 μm , respectively, in this study); the length of the lateral terminal accessory
29
30
31 spines (23 μm in the original one, versus 80–100 μm in this study; we speculate that Zelinka’s
32
33
34 measurements might be based on penile spines); the presence of the additional type-2 gland
35
36
37 cell outlets in subdorsal position on segments 2 and 4, laterodorsal position on segment 2,
38
39
40 midlateral position on segments 4, 5, and 6, and sublateral position on segment 2 in this study
41
42
43 (absent/not mentioned in the original description); ventral type-1 gland cell outlets on
44
45
46 segment 2 and 3 being more laterally placed in the original description than those in this
47
48
49 study; and the ventromedial type-1 gland cell outlets on segment 1 (present in the original
50
51
52 description whereas absent in this study). Because the specimens described by Zelinka (1928)
53
54
55
56
57
58 no longer exist, and none of the other available specimens obtained from the Italian and
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 neighboring waters are similar to the original description of *E. ferrugineus*, we regard the
2
3
4 specimens in the current study as *E. ferrugineus* and propose the emended diagnosis of the
5
6
7 species based on the new specimens.
8
9

10 11 12 13 **3.2.5.2. Comparison with congeners** 14

15
16 *Echinoderes ferrugineus* shares the spine and tube pattern with 14 congeners.
17

18
19 However, it can be distinguished from all congeners by the distribution of type-2 gland cell
20
21 outlets on segments 4, 5, and 8, which in combination is unique. Especially the presence of
22
23 the two pairs of type-2 gland cell outlets (in subdorsal and midlateral position) on segment 4
24
25 in *E. ferrugineus* is unique among these species, thus the most important differential character
26
27 for the species.
28
29
30
31
32

33
34
35 Due to the lack of information on type-2 gland cell outlets in *Echinoderes*
36
37
38 *brevicaudatus* Higgins, 1977, *Echinoderes remanei* (Blake, 1930), and *Echinoderes stockmani*
39
40
41 Adrianov, 1999 in Adrianov and Malakhov, 1999, *E. ferrugineus* cannot be differentiated from
42
43 them based on the type-2 gland cell outlets. Nonetheless, *E. ferrugineus* can be distinguished
44
45 from *E. brevicaudatus* by having much longer lateral terminal spines (143–181 μm and
46
47 45.6–62.3% of trunk length in *E. ferrugineus*, versus 57 μm and 17% of trunk length in *E.*
48
49 *brevicaudatus*) and a longer middorsal spine on segment 8 (57–72 μm in *E. ferrugineus*,
50
51 versus 18 μm as a maximum length of *E. brevicaudatus*) (Higgins, 1966, 1977).
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 *Echinoderes ferrugineus* can be distinguished from *E. remanei* by the shape of its
2
3
4 primary pectinate fringe on ventral side of segment 1. The pectinate fringe teeth of this
5
6
7 segment are similar in width to those of the other segments in *E. ferrugineus*, whereas they
8
9
10 are much wider in *E. remanei* (Higgins, 1964). In addition, *E. ferrugineus* differs from *E.*
11
12
13 *remanei* in having shorter lateroventral acicular spines (15–24 μm in *E. ferrugineus*, versus
14
15
16 35–47 μm in *E. remanei*) and longer lateral terminal spines (143–181 μm , 45–63% of trunk
17
18
19 length in *E. ferrugineus*, versus 80–110 μm , 24–31% of trunk length in *E. remanei*).
20
21

22
23 *Echinoderes ferrugineus* differs from *E. stockmani* by its small trunk size (260–324
24
25
26 μm in *E. ferrugineus*, versus 493 μm in *E. stockmani*) and in the length of the lateral terminal
27
28
29 spines (143–181 μm and 45.6–62.3% of trunk length in *E. ferrugineus*, versus 410 μm and
30
31
32 83% of trunk length in *E. stockmani*) (Adrianov and Malakhov, 1999).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **Acknowledgements**

42
43
44 We thank Dr Birger Neuhaus and Dr Monika Bright for making the specimens from
45
46
47 Trieste available for this study; Dr Martin V. Sørensen and one anonymous reviewer for
48
49
50 providing a valuable and constructive review of the manuscript. This study was supported by
51
52
53 a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG (GE 1086/20-1, NE 931/6-1) to Dr
54
55
56
57 Kai George and Dr Birger Neuhaus.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6 **References**
7
8

9 Adrianov, A.V., Maiorova, A.S., 2018. *Parasemnoderes intermedius* gen. n., sp. n.—the first
10
11
12 abyssal representative of the family Semnoderidae (Kinorhyncha: Cyclorhagida).

13
14
15 Russ. J. Mar. Biol. 44, 355–362. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063074018050024>.

16
17
18 Adrianov, A.V., Malakhov, V.V., 1999. Cephalorhyncha of the World Ocean. KMK Scientific
19
20
21 Press, Moscow.

22
23
24
25 Blake, C.H., 1930. Three new species of worms belonging to the order Echinodera. Biol. Surv.
26
27
28 Mount Desert Reg. 4, 3–10.

29
30
31 Claparède, A.R.E., 1863. Zur Kenntnis der Gattung *Echinoderes* Duj. Beobachtungen über
32
33
34 Anatomie und Entwicklungsgeschichte wirbelloser Thiere an der Küste von
35
36
37 Normandie angestellt, 119. Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann, Leipzig, pp. 90–92 (pls.
38
39
40 XVI-XVII).

41
42
43
44 Dal Zotto, M., 2015. *Antygomonas caeciliae*, a new kinorhynch from the Mediterranean Sea,
45
46
47 with report of mitochondrial genetic data for the phylum. Mar. Biol. Res. 11,
48
49
50 689–702. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2015.1007872>
51
52

53
54 Dal Zotto, M., Di Domenico, M., Garraffoni, A., Sørensen M.V., 2013. *Franciscideres* gen.
55
56
57 nov. – a new, highly aberrant kinorhynch genus from Brazil, with an analysis of its
58
59
60

1 phylogenetic position. Syst. Biodivers. 11, 303–321.

2
3
4 <https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2013.819045>

5
6
7 Dal Zotto, M., Neuhaus, B., Yamasaki, H., Todaro, M.A., (this issue). The genus
8
9
10 *Condyloderes* (Kinorhyncha: Cyclorhagida) in the Mediterranean Sea, including the
11
12
13 description of two new species with novel characters. Zool. Anz. (this issue).
14
15

16
17 Dal Zotto, M., Santulli, A., Simonini, R., Todaro, M.A., 2016. Organic enrichment effects on
18
19
20 a marine meiofauna community, with focus on Kinorhyncha. Zool. Anz. 265,
21
22
23 127–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2016.03.013>.
24
25

26
27 Dal Zotto, M., Todaro, M.A., 2016. Kinorhyncha from Italy, a revision of the current checklist
28
29
30 and an account of the recent investigations. Zool. Anz. 265, 90–107.
31
32
33 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2016.01.004>.
34
35

36 G^aOrdóñez, D., Pardos, F., Benito, J. 2008. Three new *Echinoderes* (Kinorhyncha,
37
38
39 Cyclorhagida) from North Spain, with new evolutionary aspects in the genus. Zool.
40
41
42 Anz. 247, 95–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2007.07.001>.
43
44

45 Grzelak, K., Sørensen, M.V., 2018 New species of *Echinoderes* (Kinorhyncha: Cyclorhagida)
46
47
48 from Spitsbergen, with additional information about known Arctic species. Mar Biol.
49
50
51 Res. 14, 113–147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2017.1367096>.
52
53

54 Herranz, M., Sánchez, N., Pardos, F., Higgins, R.P., 2014. New Kinorhyncha from Florida
55
56
57 coastal waters. Helgoland Mar. Res. 68, 59–87.
58
59
60

1 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10152-013-0369-9>.

2
3
4 Herranz, M., Thormar, J., Benito, J., Sánchez, N., Pardos, F., 2012. *Meristoderes* gen. nov., a
5
6
7 new kinorhynch genus, with the description of two new species and their
8
9
10 implications for echinoderid phylogeny (Kinorhyncha: Cyclorhagida, Echinoderidae).
11
12
13 Zool. Anz. 251, 161–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2011.08.004>.
14
15

16
17 Herranz, M., Yangel, E., Leander, B.S., 2018. *Echinoderes hakaensis* sp. nov.: a new mud
18
19
20 dragon (Kinorhyncha, Echinoderidae) from the northeastern Pacific Ocean with the
21
22
23 redescription of *Echinoderes pennaki* Higgins, 1960. Mar. Biodiv. 48, 303–325.
24
25
26 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-017-0726-z>.
27
28

29
30 Higgins, R.P., 1964. Redescription of the kinorhynch *Echinoderes remanei* (Blake, 1930)
31
32
33 Karling, 1954. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc. 83, 243–247.
34
35
36 <https://doi.org/10.2307/3224573>.
37
38

39
40 Higgins, R.P., 1966. Faunistic studies in the Red Sea (in winter, 1961-1962), Part II:
41
42
43 Kinorhynchs from the Area of Al-Ghardawa. Zool. Jb. Syst. Bd. S. 93, 118–126.
44

45
46 Higgins, R.P. 1977. Redescription of *Echinoderes dujardini* (Kinorhyncha) with descriptions
47
48
49 of closely related species. Smithson. Contrib. Zool. 248, 1–30.
50

51
52 Higgins, R.P., 1983. The Atlantic barrier reef ecosystem at Carrie Bow Cay, Belize, II:
53
54
55 Kinorhyncha. Smithson. Contrib. Mar. Sci. 18, 1–131.
56
57
58 <https://doi.org/10.5479/si.01960768.18.1>.
59
60

- 1 Higgins, R.P., Kristensen, R.M., 1988. Kinorhyncha from Disko Island, West Greenland. .
2
3
4 Smithson. Contrib. Zool. 458, 1–55. <https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.458>.
5
6
7 Karling, T.G., 1954. *Echinoderes levanderi* n. sp. (Kinorhyncha) aus der Ostsee. Ark. Zool. 7,
8
9
10 189–192.
11
12
13 Landers, S.C., Sørensen, M.V., Beaton, K.R., Jones, C.M., Miller, J.M., Stewart, P.M., 2018.
14
15
16 Kinorhynch assemblages in the Gulf of Mexico continental shelf collected during a
17
18
19 two-year survey. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 502, 81–90.
20
21
22 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2017.05.013>.
23
24
25
26 Nebelsick, M., 1992a. Sensory Spots of *Echinoderes capitatus* (Zelinka, 1928) (Kinorhyncha,
27
28
29 Cyclorhagida). Acta Zool. 73, 185–195.
30
31
32
33 Nebelsick, M., 1992b. Ultrastructural investigations of three taxonomic characters in the trunk
34
35
36 region of *Echinoderes capitatus* (Kinorhyncha, Cyclorhagida). Zool. Scr. 21,
37
38
39 335–345. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.1992.tb00335.x>.
40
41
42
43 Nebelsick, M., 1993. Introvert, mouth cone, and nervous system of *Echinoderes capitatus*
44
45
46 (Kinorhyncha, Cyclorhagida) and implications for the phylogenetic relationships of
47
48
49 Kinorhyncha. Zoomorph. 113, 211–232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00403313>.
50
51
52
53 Neuhaus, B., 2013. 5. Kinorhyncha (= Echinodera), in: Schmidt-Rhaesa, A. (Ed.), Handbook
54
55
56 of Zoology. Gastrotricha. Cycloneuralia and Gnathifera. Vol. 1. Nematomorpha.
57
58
59 Priapulida. Kinorhyncha. Loricifera. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, pp. 177–348.
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 Neuhaus, B., 2017. Redescription of *Tubulideres seminoli* Sørensen et al., 2007 and notes on
2
3
4 *Wollunquaderes majkenae* Sørensen & Thormar, 2010 (Kinorhyncha, Cyclorhagida):
5
6
7 Morphology, postembryonic development, life cycle, and new characters. *Zool. Anz.*
8
9
10 270, 123–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2017.09.004>.
11
12
13 Neuhaus, B., Blasche, T., 2006. *Fissuroderes*, a new genus of Kinorhyncha (Cyclorhagida)
14
15
16 from the deep sea and continental shelf of New Zealand and from the continental
17
18
19 shelf of Costa Rica. *Zool. Anz.* 245, 19–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2006.03.003>
20
21
22
23 Neuhaus, B., Dal Zotto, M., Yamasaki, H., Higgins, R.P., 2019. Revision of *Condyloderes*
24
25
26 (Kinorhyncha, Cyclorhagida) including description of *Condyloderes shirleyi* sp. nov.
27
28
29 *Zootaxa* 4561, 1–91. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4561.1.1>
30
31
32
33 Neuhaus, B., Higgins, R.P., 2002. Ultrastructure, biology, and phylogenetic relationships of
34
35
36 Kinorhyncha. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 42, 619–632. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/42.3.619>.
37
38
39 Neuhaus, B., Pardos, F., Sørensen, M.V., Higgins, R.P., 2013. Redescription, morphology, and
40
41
42 biogeography of *Centroderes spinosus* (Reinhard, 1881) (Kinorhyncha,
43
44
45 Cyclorhagida) from Europe. *Cah. Biol. Mar.* 54, 109–131.
46
47
48 <https://doi.org/10.21411/CBM.A.8E3FD0CA>.
49
50
51
52 Neuhaus, B., Pardos, F., Sørensen, M.V., Higgins, R.P., 2014. New species of *Centroderes*
53
54
55 (Kinorhyncha: Cyclorhagida) from the Northwest Atlantic Ocean, life cycle, and
56
57
58 ground pattern of the genus. *Zootaxa* 3901, 1–69.
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3901.1.1>.

2
3
4 Neuhaus, B., Sørensen, M.V., 2013. Populations of *Campyloderes* sp. (Kinorhyncha,
5
6
7 Cyclorhagida): One global species with significant morphological variation? Zool.
8
9
10 Anz. 252, 48–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2012.03.002>.

11
12
13 Neves, R.C., Sørensen, M.V., Herranz, M., 2016. First account on kinorhynchs from Portugal,
14
15
16 with the description of two new species: *Echinoderes lusitanicus* sp. nov. and *E.*
17
18
19 *reicherti* sp. nov. Mar. Biol. Res. 12, 455–470.
20
21
22
23 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2016.1154973>.

24
25
26 Nyholm, K.-G., 1947. Studies in the Echinoderida. Ark. Zool. 39, 1–36.

27
28
29 Pfannkuche, O., Thiel, H., 1988. Sample processing. In: Higgins, R.P., Thiel, H. (Eds),
30
31
32 Introduction to the study of meiofauna, Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington
33
34
35
36 D.C., pp. 134–145.

37
38
39 Remane, A., 1936. Kinorhyncha (=Echinodera). In Bronn, H.G. (Ed.), Klassen und
40
41
42 Ordnungen des Tierreichs, Band 4: Vermes, II. Abteilung: Aschelminthes,
43
44
45 Trochelminthes, 1. Buch, 2. Teil: Gastrotricha und Kinorhyncha. Akademische
46
47
48 Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, pp. 243–382.

49
50
51 Remane, A., 1954. Zwei „mediterrane“ Tierarten in der Kieler Bucht. Faunistische
52
53
54
55 Mitteilungen aus Norddeutschland 1, 12.

56
57
58 Sánchez, N., García-Herrero, Á., García-Gómez, G., Pardos, F., 2018. A new species of the
59
60

1 recently established genus *Setaphyes* (Kinorhyncha, Allomalorhagida) from the
2
3
4 Mediterranean with an identification key. Mar. Biodiv. 48, 249–258.

5
6
7 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-017-0651-1>.

8
9
10 Sánchez, N., Herranz, M., Benito, J., Pardos, F., 2012. Kinorhyncha from the Iberian
11
12 Peninsula: new data from the first intensive sampling campaigns. Zootaxa 3402,
13
14 24–44.

15
16
17
18 Sánchez, N., Yamasaki, H., Pardos, F., Sørensen, M.V., Martínez, A., 2016. Morphology
19
20 disentangles the systematics of a ubiquitous but elusive meiofaunal group
21
22 (Kinorhyncha: Pycnophyidae). Cladistics 32, 479–505.

23
24
25
26
27 <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12143>.

28
29
30
31
32 Sørensen, M.V., 2018. Redescription of *Echinoderes levanderi* Karling, 1954 (Kinorhyncha:
33
34 Cyclorhagida) – a kinorhynch tolerant to very low salinities. Eur. J. Taxon. 436, 1–17.

35
36
37
38
39 <https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2018.436>.

40
41
42 Sørensen, M.V., Dal Zotto, M., Rho, H.S., Herranz, M., Sánchez, N., Pardos, F., Yamasaki, H.,
43
44 2015. Phylogeny of Kinorhyncha based on morphology and two molecular loci.

45
46
47
48 PLoS ONE. 10, e0133440. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133440>.

49
50
51 Sørensen, M.V., Grzelak, K., 2018. New mud dragons from Svalbard: three new species of
52
53 *Cristaphyes* and the first Arctic species of *Pycnophyes* (Kinorhyncha:
54
55 Allomalorhagida: Pycnophyidae). Peer J 6, e5653.

1 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5653>.

2
3
4 Sørensen, M.V., Herranz, M., Landers, S.C., 2016. A new species of *Echinoderes*

5
6
7 (Kinorhyncha: Cyclorhagida) from the Gulf of Mexico, with a redescription of

8
9
10 *Echinoderes bookhouti* Higgins, 1964. *Zool. Anz.* 265, 48–68.

11
12
13 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2016.04.004>.

14
15
16 Sørensen, M.V., Landers, S.C., 2018. New species of Semnoderidae (Kinorhyncha:

17
18
19 Cyclorhagida: Kentrorhagata) from the Gulf of Mexico. *Mar. Biodiv.* 48, 327–355.

20
21
22 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-017-0728-x>

23
24
25 Sørensen, M.V., Pardos, F., 2008. Kinorhynch systematics and biology — an introduction to

26
27
28 the study of kinorhynchs, inclusive identification keys to the genera. *Meiofauna Mar.*

29
30
31 16, 21–73.

32
33
34 Sørensen, M.V., Rohal, M., Thistle, D., 2018. Deep-sea Echinoderidae (Kinorhyncha:

35
36
37 Cyclorhagida) from the Northwest Pacific. *Eur. J. Taxon.* 456, 1–75.

38
39
40 <https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2018.456>

41
42
43 Sørensen, M.V., Thistle, D., Landers, S.C., (this issue). North American *Condyloderes*

44
45
46 (Kinorhyncha: Cyclorhagida: Kentrorhagata): Female dimorphism suggests moulting

47
48
49 among adult *Condyloderes*. *Zool. Anz.* (this issue).

50
51
52 Todaro, M.A., Leasi, F., Bizzarri, N., Tongiorgi, P., 2006. Meiofauna densities and gastrotrich

53
54
55 community composition in a Mediterranean sea cave. *Mar. Biol.* 149, 1079–1091.

1 Todaro, M.A., Virno-Lamberti, C., Pulcini, M., Pellegrini, D., De Ranieri, S., 2001.

2
3
4 Monitoring of a dredged material disposal site: evidence of rapid meiofaunal
5
6
7 recolonization of the dumped sediments. *Biol. Mar. Medit.* 8, 1–10.
8
9

10 Yamasaki, H., 2016. *Ryuguderes iejimaensis*, a new genus and species of Campyloderidae
11
12 (Xenosomata: Cyclorhagida: Kinorhyncha) from a submarine cave in the Ryukyu
13
14 Islands, Japan. *Zool. Anz.* 265, 69–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2016.02.003>
15
16
17
18

19 Yamasaki, H., Grzelak, K., Sørensen, M.V., Neuhaus, B., George, K.H., 2018a. *Echinoderes*
20
21 *pterus* sp. n. showing a geographically and bathymetrically wide distribution pattern
22
23 on seamounts and on the deep-sea floor in the Arctic Ocean, Atlantic Ocean, and the
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

66 Yamasaki, H., Neuhaus, B., George, K.H., 2018b. Three new species of Echinoderidae
67
68 (Kinorhyncha: Cyclorhagida) from two seamounts and the adjacent deep-sea floor in
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

999 Zelinka, C., 1894. Über die Organisation von *Echinoderes*. *Verh. Deutsch. Zool. Gesellsch.* 4,

1 46–49.
2
3

4 Zelinka, C., 1896. Demonstration der Tafeln der Echinoderes-Monographie. Verh. Deutsch.
5
6

7 Zool. Gesellsch. 6, 197–199.
8
9

10 Zelinka, C., 1912. Die Spermatozoen der Echinoderen und ihre Genese, in:
11
12

13 Stummer-Traunfels, R.R.v. (Ed.), Verhandlungen des VIII. Internationalen
14
15

16 Zoologen-Kongresses zu Graz vom 15.-20. August 1910. Gustav Fischer, Jena, pp.
17
18

19 520–527.
20
21

22
23 Zelinka, K., 1928. Monographie der Echinodera. Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann, Leipzig.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Figure captions**

2
3
4 **Fig. 1.** Map of middle Mediterranean Sea, showing the localities where *Echinoderes capitatus*
5
6
7 and *Echinoderes ferrugineus* were reported. The abbreviations of c and f, and asterisks in
8
9
10 parentheses indicate the records of *E. capitatus*, that of *E. ferrugineus*, and the original type
11
12
13 locality for the species, respectively. Black circles indicate the stations where the specimens
14
15
16 examined in this study were collected.
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **Fig. 2.** *Echinoderes capitatus*, camera lucida drawings. A, B, female from the Gulf of Trieste
24
25 (ZMB XXXXXX), whole animal, dorsal and ventral views, respectively; C, D, male from the
26
27
28 Gulf of Trieste (ZMB XXXXXX), segments 9–11, dorsal and ventral views, respectively.
29
30
31

32 Note that the ventrolateral tube on right side on segment 2 is irregularly absent in ZMB
33
34
35 XXXXXX, but illustrated with dotted line in B as referencing that in other specimens.
36
37
38

39 Abbreviations: gco1, type-1 gland cell outlet; lat, lateral accessory tube; ldt, laterodorsal tube;
40
41
42 lts, lateral terminal spine; lvs, lateroventral acicular spine; mds, middorsal acicular spine; mlt,
43
44
45 midlateral tube; pe, penile spine; sdt, subdorsal tube; si, sieve plate; ss, sensory spot; vlt,
46
47
48 ventrolateral tube; vmt, ventromedial tube.
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Fig. 3.** *Echinoderes capitatus* from Castellammare del Golfo, Sicily, scanning electron
56
57
58 photomicrographs. A, female, whole body, lateral view (right side); B, close up of the mouth
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 cone, lateroventral view (right side); C, close up of the introvert, lateral view (right side); D,
2
3
4 segments 1–3, lateral view (left side); E, segments 1–4, ventral view; F, segments 1–3, dorsal
5
6
7 view; G, segments 3 and 4, dorsal view; H, segments 5–8, ventral view; I, segments 5 and 6,
8
9
10 lateral view (left side); J, segments 6–8, dorsal view; K, segments 7–9, lateral view (left side);
11
12
13 L, segments 9–11 of male, ventral view; M, segments 10 and 11 of female, lateral view (right
14
15
16 side). Black arrows indicate sensory spots. Abbreviations: he, head; lat, lateral accessory tube;
17
18
19 ldt, laterodorsal tube; lts, lateral terminal spine; lvs, lateroventral acicular spine; mds,
20
21
22 middorsal acicular spine; mlt, midlateral tube; oos, outer oral style; pe, penile spine; pss,
23
24
25 primary spinoscalid; sdt, subdorsal tube; sec, sector number; seg, segment number; sp,
26
27
28 spinoscalid with ring number; tr, trichoscalid; vlt, ventrolateral tube.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Fig. 4.** *Echinoderes capitatus*, female from the Gulf of Trieste (ZMB XXXXXX), Nomarski
37
38 photomicrographs. A, neck and segments 1–11, dorsal view; B, neck and segments 1–3, dorsal
39
40
41 view; C, neck and segments 1 and 3, ventral view; D, segments 4–6, ventral view; E,
42
43
44 segments 7 and 8, ventral view; F, segments 8 and 9, dorsal view; G, segments 9–11, ventral
45
46
47 view. Black arrows and white arrowheads indicate sensory spots and type-1 gland cell outlets,
48
49
50
51 respectively. Abbreviations: lat, lateral accessory tube; ldt, laterodorsal tube; lts, lateral
52
53
54 terminal spine; lvs, lateroventral acicular spine; mdp, middorsal placid; mds, middorsal
55
56
57 acicular spine; mlt, midlateral tube; mvp, midventral placid; sdt, subdorsal tube; seg, segment
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 number; trp, trichoscalid plate; vlt, ventrolateral tube; vmt, ventromedial tube.
2
3
4
5
6

7 **Fig. 5.** Diagram of mouth cone, introvert, and placids in *Echinoderes capitatus*. Grey shaded
8 area shows mouth cone and bold bent bars symbolize placids. The table lists the scalid
9 arrangement by sector.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Fig. 6.** *Echinoderes ferrugineus*, camera lucida drawings. A, B, male from Castellammare del
21 Golfo, Sicily (ZMB XXXXXX), whole animal, ventral and dorsal views, respectively; C, D,
22 female from Castellammare del Golfo, Sicily (ZMB XXXXXX), segments 10 and 11, dorsal
23 and ventral views, respectively. Abbreviations: gco1, type-1 gland cell outlet; gco2, type-2
24 gland cell outlet; lat, lateral accessory tube; ltas, lateral terminal accessory spine; lts, lateral
25 terminal spine; lvs, lateroventral acicular spine; mdp, middorsal placid; mds, middorsal
26 acicular spine; mvp, midventral placid; pe, penile spine; si, sieve plate; ss, sensory spot.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Fig. 7.** *Echinoderes ferrugineus* from Castellammare del Golfo, Sicily, scanning electron
46 photomicrographs. A, male, whole body, lateral view (left side); B, head and neck, lateral
47 view (left side); C, segments 1–5, laterodorsal view (left side); D, close-up of laterodorsal
48 type-2 gland cell outlet and sensory spots; E, segments 5–7, ventral view; F, segments 5–7,
49 laterodorsal view (left side); G, segments 6–8, laterodorsal view (left side); H, segments 7–9,
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 ventral view; I, segments 8 and 9, lateral view (left side); J, close-up of midlateral to
2
3
4 lateroventral areas on segments 8 and 9; K, segments 9–11, laterodorsal view (left side); L,
5
6
7 close-up of segment 11, dorsal view; M, close-up of penile spine on segment 11, lateral view
8
9
10 (left side). Black arrows and black arrowheads indicate sensory spots and type-2 gland cell
11
12
13 outlets, respectively. Abbreviations: lat, lateral accessory tube; lts, lateral terminal spine; lvs,
14
15
16 lateroventral acicular spine; mds, middorsal acicular spine; oos, outer oral style; pe, penile
17
18
19 spine; pl, placid; pss, primary spinoscalid; sec, sector number; seg, segment number; si, sieve
20
21
22 plate; sp, spinoscalid with ring number; tr, trichoscalid.
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Fig. 8.** *Echinoderes ferrugineus*, from Castellammare del Golfo, Sicily, Nomarski
30

31 photomicrographs. A–G, male (ZMB XXXXXX); H, female (ZMB XXXXXX). A, neck and
32
33 segments 1–11, ventral view; B, neck and segments 1–11, dorsal view; C, segments 1–5,
34
35
36 ventral view; D, segments 1–5, dorsal view; E, segments 4–8, dorsal view; F, segments 6–9,
37
38
39 ventral view; G, segments 10 and 11, ventral view; H, segments 10 and 11, ventral view.
40
41
42

43 Black arrows, white arrowhead, and black arrowheads indicate sensory spots, type-1 gland
44
45 cell outlet, and type-2 gland cell outlets, respectively. Abbreviations: lat, lateral accessory
46
47
48 tube; lts, lateral terminal accessory spine; lts, lateral terminal spine; lvs, lateroventral acicular
49
50
51 spine; mds, middorsal acicular spine; pe, penile spine; ppf, primary pectinate fringe; seg,
52
53
54 segment number.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 **Fig. 9.** Diagram of mouth cone, introvert, and placids in *Echinoderes ferrugineus*. Grey
5
6
7 shaded area shows mouth cone and bold bent bars symbolize placids. The table lists the scalid
8
9
10 arrangement by sector.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1

Table 1. Records of *E. capitatus* and *E. ferrugineus* and information on sampling stations of the material examined in this study. Asterisks on locality name indicate type locality for each species. Bold font indicates the stations of the material examined in this study.

Region	Locality	reference or sampling date in this study	Depth (m)	Latitude	Longitude	Sediment type	Species	Remarks	
Adriatic Sea, Mediterranean Sea	Gulf of Trieste	Barcola*	Zelinka (1928)	19–22	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	<i>E. capitatus</i>	
		Servola*	Zelinka (1928)	18	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	<i>E. capitatus</i>	
		S. Bartolomeo*	Zelinka (1928)	12–22	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	<i>E. capitatus</i>	
	Bay of Capodistria*	Zelinka (1928)	21–23	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	<i>E. capitatus</i>		
		Zelinka (1928)	18	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	<i>E. capitatus</i>		
	Portoroz*	Zelinka (1928)	ca. 3	n.a.	n.a.	algae with yellow mud	<i>E. ferrugineus</i>		
		Aurisina	Nebelsick (1992a, 1992b, 1993)	Subtidal	n.a.	n.a.	Muddy sands	<i>E. capitatus</i>	
	Trieste	This study (12.05.1987)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	<i>E. capitatus</i> ; <i>E. ferrugineus</i>	Specimens donated by Dr Monika Bright to Dr Birger Neuhaus	
		Istria	Nebelsick (1992a, 1992b, 1993)	Subtidal	n.a.	n.a.	Muddy sands	<i>E. capitatus</i>	
	Rovinj	Dal Zotto and Todaro (2016)	20–29	45°05'44"N	12°35'49"E	Coarse silt	<i>E. capitatus</i> ; <i>E. ferrugineus</i>		
	Chioggia	This study (01.06.2006)	29	45°05'42"N	12°35'43"E	Pelitic sand (fine/very fine sand: 74.08%, silt: 13.81%, clay: 12.11%)	<i>E. capitatus</i> ; <i>E. ferrugineus</i>	Specimens stained in rose Bengal	
	This study (01.10.2008)	29	45°05'24"N	12°34'49"E	Fine sand with mud (fine/very fine sand: 81.24%, silt: 12.30%, clay: 6.47%)	<i>E. capitatus</i> ; <i>E. ferrugineus</i>			
	This study (01.07.2015)	28	45°05'18"N	12°34'33"E	Fine sand with mud (sand: 82.20%, silt: 12.23%, clay: 5.56%)	<i>E. capitatus</i> ; <i>E. ferrugineus</i>			
Tyrrhenian Sea, Mediterranean Sea	Bay of Naples	Posillipo*	Zelinka (1928)	35	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	<i>E. capitatus</i> ; <i>E. ferrugineus</i>	
		Santa Lucia *	Zelinka (1928)	1	n.a.	n.a.	algae	<i>E. ferrugineus</i>	
		Nisida *	Zelinka (1928)	1–2	n.a.	n.a.	algae	<i>E. ferrugineus</i>	
	Sicily	Castellammare del Golfo	Dal Zotto and Todaro (2016); Dal Zotto et al., 2016	35–50	38°02'35"N	12°52'58"E	Fine sand-coarse silt	<i>E. capitatus</i> ; <i>E. ferrugineus</i>	
		This study (01.06.2007)	43	38°02'58"N	12°52'58"E	Silt to very fine sand (coarse sand: 0.69%, medium sand: 0.65%, fine sand: 4.05%, very fine sand: 24.14%, silt-clay: 70.45%)	<i>E. capitatus</i> ; <i>E. ferrugineus</i>	Specimens stained in rose Bengal	
Ligurian Sea, Mediterranean Sea	Leghorn	Dal Zotto and Todaro (2016)	30–40	38°04'51"N	13°01'12"E	Fine sand-coarse silt	<i>E. capitatus</i> ; <i>E. ferrugineus</i>		
		Dal Zotto and Todaro (2016)	97–130	43°37'35"N	9°59'32"E	Fine sand-coarse silt	<i>E. capitatus</i>		
Baltic Sea	Bay of Kiel	Remane (1954)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	coarse gravelly sand with detritus	<i>E. ferrugineus</i>		

Table 2. Measurements for adult *Echinoderes capitatus* (in micrometers). Columns N and SD indicate sample size and standard deviation, respectively. Abbreviations: (ac), acicular spine; (f), female condition of sexually dimorphic character; la, lateral accessory tube; ld, laterodorsal tube; lts, lateral terminal spine; lv, lateroventral spine; (m), male condition of sexually dimorphic character; md, middorsal spine; ml, midlateral tube; msw, maximum sternal width; s, segment; sd, subdorsal tube; sw, standard width; tl, trunk length; (tu), tube; vl, ventrolateral tube; vm, ventromedial tube.

Character	N	Range	Mean	SD
tl	16	262–353	307	27.42
msw-7	14	45–55	48	2.90
msw-7/tl	14	13.5–19.3%	15.5%	1.71%
sw-10	15	40–47	43	1.60
sw-10/tl	14	11.7–16.1%	14.0%	1.20%
s1	14	32–40	35	2.19
s2	14	19–27	23	2.49
s3	14	24–30	27	1.52
s4	14	27–32	29	1.52
s5	14	28–33	31	1.47
s6	14	31–40	35	2.23
s7	14	35–41	38	1.79
s8	14	38–49	42	2.39
s9	14	30–45	41	3.66
s10	14	29–39	36	2.84
s11	14	20–35	27	4.03
md4 (ac)	14	11–18	13	2.17
sd2 (tu)	15	11–17	13	1.90
ld2 (tu)	12	9–15	12	2.10
ml2 (tu)	13	10–18	14	1.99
vl2 (tu)	16	10–16	14	1.61
la5 (tu)	14	8–15	11	2.12
sd6 (ac)	2	11–12	11	0.33
lv6 (ac)	16	8–13	11	1.21
ml7 (tu)	3	13–18	15	2.55
lv7 (ac)	16	10–13	12	1.28
sd8 (tu)	14	10–16	13	1.52
ml8 (tu)	3	12–16	14	2.12
la8 (tu)	13	9–15	11	1.82
lv8 (ac)	17	9–14	12	1.43
vm8 (tu)	1	9	n.a.	n.a.
lv9 (ac)	17	10–17	13	1.66
ld10 (tu)	13	6–13	8	2.45
ld10 (tu) (m)	8	6–13	9	2.60
ld10 (tu) (f)	5	6–8	6	0.96
lts	15	75–100	88	8.02
lts/tl	14	25.3–35.1%	29.0%	3.35%

Table 3

Table 3. Summary of position of cuticular structures and spines in *Echinoderes capitatus*. Underlined characters show variational occurrence. Abbreviations: ac, acicular spine; (Ca), specimens from off Castellammare del Golfo, Sicily, southern Tyrrhenian Sea; (Ch), specimens from off Chioggia, northern Adriatic Sea; gco1, type-1 gland cell outlet; la, lateral accessory; ld, laterodorsal; lts, lateral terminal spine; lv, lateroventral; (m), male condition of sexually dimorphic character; md, middorsal; ml, midlateral; (*Ne*), characters reported in Nebelsick (1992b), based on specimens from the Gulf of Trieste, northern Adriatic Sea; pe, penile spine; pd, paradorsal; sd, subdorsal; si, sieve plate; sl, sublateral; ss, sensory spot; (Tr), specimens from off Trieste, northern Adriatic Sea; tu, tube; vl, ventrolateral; vm, ventromedial. One asterisk (*) means that sensory spots are replaced with tubes in one out of ten specimens from Chioggia, Adriatic Sea. Two asterisks (**) means that tubes are replaced with sensory spots in one out of eleven specimens from off Castellammare del Golfo, Sicily, southern Tyrrhenian Sea.

Position segment	md	pd	sd	ld	ml	sl	la	lv	vl	vm
1	gco1		ss	ss				gco1		ss
2	gco1, <u>ss (Ca, Ch, Tr)</u>		tu	<u>tu (Ne, Ch, Tr), ss (Ca)</u>	tu				tu	gco1, ss
3	gco1		ss		ss					gco1
4	ac, <u>gco1 (Ne)</u>	gco1	ss							gco1
5	gco1		ss		ss		tu			gco1, ss
6		gco1, ss	ss, <u>tu (Ne, Tr)</u>		ss			ac		gco1, ss
7	gco1		ss, <u>tu (Ne)</u>		<u>ss (Ne, Ch*, Tr), tu (Ca**)</u>			ac		gco1, ss
8		gco1, ss	ss, tu		<u>tu (Tr)</u>		tu	ac	gco1, <u>tu (Ne, Tr), ss (Ca, Ch)</u>	
9		gco1, ss	ss		<u>ss (Ca, Ch, Tr)</u>	si		ac	ss	gco1
10	gco1, <u>gco1 (Ca, Ch, Tr)</u>		ss	tu					ss	gco1
11	<u>gco1 (Ca, Ch, Tr)</u>		ss				pe×3 (m)	lts		

Table 4. Measurements for adult *Echinoderes ferrugineus* (in micrometers). Columns N and SD indicate sample size and standard deviation, respectively. Abbreviations: (ac), acicular spine; la, lateral accessory; ltas, lateral terminal accessory spine; lts, lateral terminal spine; lv, lateroventral spine; md, middorsal spine; msw, maximum sternal width; s, segment; sw, standard width; tl, trunk length; (tu), tube.

Character	N	Range	Mean	SD
tl	14	260–324	304	19.32
msw-6	10	47–56	52	2.55
msw-6/tl	10	16.2–18.9%	17.2%	0.87%
sw-10	11	37–45	42	2.54
sw-10/tl	11	12.6–15.3%	13.9%	0.89%
s1	11	29–37	32	2.12
s2	11	24–29	27	1.48
s3	11	22–26	25	1.28
s4	11	26–28	27	0.78
s5	11	27–31	29	0.96
s6	11	30–35	32	1.62
s7	11	32–37	35	1.66
s8	11	35–41	37	1.68
s9	11	35–40	37	1.78
s10	11	39–47	44	2.12
s11	11	24–33	29	2.41
md4 (ac)	12	22–36	28	3.41
md5 (ac)	11	29–47	37	4.86
md6 (ac)	11	40–49	45	2.79
md7 (ac)	10	45–56	51	3.36
md8 (ac)	10	57–72	64	4.43
la5 (tu)	13	10–16	13	2.11
lv6 (ac)	13	15–20	17	1.47
lv7 (ac)	14	17–21	19	1.19
lv8 (ac)	14	18–24	20	1.57
lv9 (ac)	14	16–22	19	1.54
lts	13	143–181	162	13.24
ltas	7	80–100	90	7.79
lts/tl	13	45.6–62.3%	53.1%	5.16%
ltas/tl	7	26.3–35.7%	29.1%	3.32%

Table 5

Table 5. Summary of locations of cuticular structures and spines in *Echinoderes ferrugineus*.

Abbreviations: ac, acicular spine; (f), female condition of sexually dimorphic character; gco1, type-1 gland cell outlet; gco2, type-2 gland cell outlet; la, lateral accessory; ld, laterodorsal; ltas, lateral terminal accessory spine; lts, lateral terminal spine; lv, lateroventral; (m), male condition of sexually dimorphic character; md, middorsal; ml, midlateral; pe, penile spine; pd, paradorsal; sd, subdorsal; si, sieve plate; sl, sublateral; ss, sensory spot; tu, tube; vl, ventrolateral; vm,

Position segment	md	pd	sd	ld	ml	sl	la	lv	vl	vm
1	gco1		ss	ss			gco1			ss
2	gco1, ss		gco2	ss, gco2, ss		gco2			gco2	gco1, ss
3	gco1		ss		ss					gco1
4	ac	gco1	gco2		gco2					gco1
5	ac	gco1	ss		gco2		tu			gco1, ss
6	ac	gco1, ss	ss		ss			ac		gco1, ss
7	ac	gco1, ss			ss			ac		gco1, ss
8	ac	gco1, ss			gco2			ac		gco1
9		gco1, ss	ss		ss	si		ac	ss	gco1
10	gco1, gco1		ss						ss	gco1
11	gco1, gco1	ss					pe×3 (m), ltas (f)	lts		

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 3
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 4
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure 5
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Scalid and style arrangement

Ring	Sector										Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
00 outer oral styles ◆	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	9
01 primary spinoscalids ▼	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
02 spinoscalids ○	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
03 spinoscalids ○	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20
04 spinoscalids ○	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
05 spinoscalids ○	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20
06 spinoscalids ○	1	0	3	0	2	?	2	0	3	0	>11
07 trichoscalids ★	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	6

Figure 6
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 7
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 8
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure 9
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Scalid and style arrangement

Ring		Sector										Total	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
00	outer oral styles	◆	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	9
01	primary spinoscalids	▼	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
02	spinoscalids	○	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
03	spinoscalids	○	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20
04	spinoscalids	○	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
05	spinoscalids	○	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20
06	spinoscalids	○	?	?	3	0	>1	?	>1	0	3	?	>8
07	trichoscalids	★	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	6